



Government of India

Ministry of Food Processing Industries

5th Inter Ministerial Approval Committee Meeting
[for proposals received against EoI dated 29.01.2020]

held on

09.11.2020

Minutes of Meeting

INDEX

	Name of the Applicant	Sector	Location of the Project	State	Score	Eligible Grant (₹ in lakh)
1.	Bija Agrifresh Private Limited	F&V	Kullu	Himachal Pradesh	67	1000.00
2.	Always Fresh Fruits CA Store	F&V	Nainital	Uttarakhand	73	1000.00
3.	Nature Frozen Foods	F&V	Udham Singh Nagar	Uttarakhand	65	996.97
4.	MA Cold Chain Infrastructure	F&V	Palakkad	Kerala	69	1000.00
5.	Varma Marine	Marine	West Godavari	Andhra Pradesh	70	1000.00
6.	Nagaland Agro Development and Logistics	F&V	Dimapur	Nagaland	23	Ineligible
7.	NB Natural Food Products, Gujarat	F&V	Ahmedabad	Gujarat	80	995.62
8.	Funwave Foods LLP, Gujarat	Frozen RTE	Morbi	Gujarat	70	1226.72
9.	Foods and Inns Limited, Gujarat	F&V	Valsad	Gujarat	80	889.09
10.	R.K. Food Products	F&V	Udham Singh Nagar	Uttarakhand	75	1022.32
11.	P. Star Agro Products	F&V	Hoshiarpur	Punjab	72	524.18
12.	Bright Food Industries	F&V	Rampur	Uttar Pradesh	66	681.03
13.	Eswara Foods	Marine	Guntur	Andhra Pradesh	65	984.81
14.	T Bhimjyani Warehousing Cold Chain Private Limited	F&V	Sonipat	Haryana		Ineligible
15.	Jaya Lakshmi Sea Foods Pvt Ltd	Marine	Krishna	Andhra Pradesh	75	1761.90
16.	EM Global LLP	F&V	Rangareddy	Telangana	62	585.95
17.	Request of the promoter for revision in grant-in-aid in respect of Integrated Cold Chain Project of M/s Mewar Foods Pvt. Ltd., Jaipur, Rajasthan					a) Upward revision of grant-in-aid from ₹574.40 lakh to ₹630.53 lakh [Restricted to ₹620.00 lakh as grant sought by the applicant] b) Change in no. and capacity of

						Insulated Milk Tanker from 3 Nos. x 20 KL to 1 No. x 20 KL; and c) Change in no. and capacity of Bulk Milk Coolers from 2 Nos. x 5 KL to 1 No. x 5 KL.
18.	Request of promoter for release of grant related to reefer vans in respect of Integrated Cold Chain project of M/s RGA Fresh Fruits Pvt. Ltd.					Release of grant of ₹57.75 lakh in respect of reefer vehicles.
19.	Shiva Agri Fresh	F&V	Shimla	Himachal Pradesh	60	1000.00
20.	DC Agro Project	F&V	Kathua	Jammu & Kashmir	63	1000.00
21.	Castlerock Fisheries Pvt Ltd	Marine/ Fishery	SPSR Nellore	Andhra Pradesh	73	1000.00
22.	Mulpuri Aqua Processors Private Limited	Marine/ Fishery	Krishna	Andhra Pradesh	71	672.76
23.	Coastal Corporation Limited	Marine/ Fishery	East Godavari	Andhra Pradesh	74	1000.00
24.	T J Foods Cold Chain	Meat	Dimapur	Nagaland	68	550.58
25.	Suzaina Foods	Irradiation	Navsari	Gujarat	74	1000.00
26.	LGST	NA	NA	NA	NA	Ineligible

lr

MINUTES OF MEETINGS OF THE INTER-MINISTRIAL APPROVAL COMMITTEE (IMAC) CONSTITUTED UNDER THE SCHEME FOR INTEGRATED COLD CHAIN AND VALUE ADDITION INFRASTRUCTURE HELD ON 09.11.2020.

Meeting of the Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) constituted under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure was held through Video Conference (VC) under the chairpersonship of Minister, FPI on 09.11.2020 to consider the proposals received against the EOI dated 29.01.2020 and which were reverted back to Technical Committee for re-consideration by IMAC in its meetings held on 21.08.2020, 24.08.2020, 28.08.2020 and 31.08.2020 for grant of financial assistance.

2. At the outset, Ms. Reema Prakash, Joint Secretary & Convener of IMAC welcomed the Minister, FPI and other members of the Committee and apprised that the Ministry had issued an EOI on 29.01.2020 inviting applications from prospective investors for setting up of integrated cold chain facilities with the financial assistance of the Govt. in accordance with the revised operational guidelines of the Scheme for Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure issued on 17.12.2019. Last date for submission of proposals was 30.06.2020 for General category and from the States (except State of Maharashtra) other than NER and SC / ST category of entrepreneurs.

3. IMAC was informed by the Joint Secretary and Convener that in response to the EOI, the Ministry had received 86 [including one duplicate proposal] cold chain proposals on SAMPADA Portal of MoFPI. Therefore, 85 proposals were evaluated by the Technical Committee (TC) and were placed before IMAC dated 21.08.2020, 24.08.2020, 28.08.2020 and 31.08.2020 for consideration. IMAC approved 25 proposals as eligible, 2 proposals as eligible with conditions and rejected 38 proposals as ineligible. Further IMAC referred back 20 proposals for reconsideration by TC. Ministry has also received more 3 proposals under NER and SC / ST category.

4. All the 26 Cold Chain proposals (20 reverted back by IMAC for re-consideration + 1 more, 3 new proposals and 2 proposals for revision in grant), were evaluated by the Technical Committee (TC) under the chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (RP) through Video Conference (VC) on 01.10.2020 and 08.10.2020.

5. A presentation on each of the proposal was made by JS (CC) before IMAC for consideration and suitable decision.

6. Decisions of IMAC:

IMAC reviewed the status of each of the 26 proposals scrutinized/ appraised by the Technical Committee. Based on the deliberations, IMAC approved 21 new proposals as eligible, 3 proposals as ineligible and 2 proposals for revision in grant.

7. Other decisions

- a. IMAC is of the view that any of the proposed changes in the guidelines based on the discussion held during the IMAC meeting shall be given effect from the forthcoming EoI after suitably modifying the scheme guidelines.
- b. IMAC empowered the Technical Committee to take the decision on request of promoter(s) for extension cases, with or without penalty and such cases need not be presented before the IMAC, unless the promoter represented against the decision of Technical Committee.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair and all present.



1. **M/s. Bija Agrifresh Pvt. Ltd., Kullu, Himachal Pradesh**

A. IMAC Decision dated 21.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to TC for re-consideration based on the existing facilities as well as capacity of CA / Cold Storage being created in Kullu district of Himachal Pradesh and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI is in catchment area.

B. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

- The TC noted that the proposal was referred back to TC by IMAC for reconsideration based on the existing facilities as well as capacity of CA / Cold Storage being created in Kullu district of Himachal Pradesh and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI is in catchment area.
- ~~The TC observed that the existing facility of the applicant at Shimla district of Himachal Pradesh is having only storage facilities which are being fully utilized (as substantiated by the applicant during VC conducted by PMA) during the peak season. However, the facilities proposed in the present project are for processing (pulping and blast freezing) along with the storage.~~
- The TC further observed that the total production of proposed raw materials (Apple, Peach and Pear) in the Kullu district is about 4 times higher than the total capacity of CA / Cold Storage /processing facilities created/being created in Kullu district of Himachal Pradesh. Further, it was also observed from the available data that the overall raw material production in the state is around 3 times higher than the total processing/storage facilities created/being created in the state. The representative from NHB also corroborated the same.
- The TC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed processing / value addition in the project. The proposed main facility - FLI cum PC is located within the Kullu district which itself is in the catchment area.

Recommendation:

The Technical Committee recommends the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 67, eligible project cost of ₹ 1786.56 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹ 1330.26 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 Lakh as per scheme guidelines).

C. IMAC Observations & Recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed processing / value addition in the project.

- Based on the production details and distance of the proposed main facility - FLI cum PC from the catchment area districts, IMAC noted that FLI cum PC, located within the Kullu district, is in the catchment area.

IMAC Recommendations:

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with score of 67, eligible project cost of ₹ 1786.56 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹ 1330.26 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 Lakh as per scheme guidelines).



2. M/s. Always Fresh Fruits CA Store, Nainital, Uttarakhand

A. IMAC Decision dated 21.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to TC for re-consideration to ascertain CA Storage capacity (existing /being created) in Nainital district of Uttarakhand and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI cum Processing Centre is in catchment area.

B. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

- The TC noted that the proposal was referred back by IMAC for re-consideration to ascertain CA Storage capacity (existing / being created) in Nainital district of Uttarakhand and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI cum Processing Centre is in catchment area
- The TC observed that the total production of proposed raw materials (Apple, Peach and Pear) in the Nainital district of Uttarakhand is about 3 times higher than the (existing / being created) total capacity of CA storage in Nainital district of Uttarakhand. Further, it was also observed from the available data that the overall raw material production in the state is around 2 times higher than the total processing/storage facilities created/being created in the state. The representative from NHB also corroborated the same.
- The TC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed value addition in the project. The proposed main facility – FLI cum PC is located within the Nainital district which itself is in the catchment area.

Recommendation:

The Technical Committee recommends the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the revised score of 76, eligible project cost of ₹ 1341.10 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 996.85 Lakh.

C. IMAC observations & Recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed processing / value addition in the project.
- Based on the production details and distance of the proposed main facility - FLI cum PC from the catchment areadistricts, IMAC noted that FLI cum PC, located within the Nainital district, is in the catchment area.

IMAC recommendation:

The IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 76, eligible project cost of ₹ 1341.10 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 996.85 Lakh.



3. M/s. Nature Frozen Foods, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand

A. IMAC Decision dated 21.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to TC for re-consideration to ascertain IQF Processing capacity (existing /being created) with respect to the proposed raw material in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI cum Processing Centre is in catchment area.

B. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendations dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

- The TC noted that the proposal was referred back by IMAC to ascertain IQF Processing capacity (existing / being created) with respect to the proposed raw material in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand and also to ascertain whether the proposed FLI cum Processing Centre is in catchment area.
- The TC observed that the total production of proposed raw materials (Okra, Cauliflower, Beans, Onion, Potato and Mango) in the Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand is about 1.35 times higher than the (existing / being created) total processing capacity (IQF) in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand. Further, it was also assessed that the overall raw material production in the state is around 3 times higher than the total processing/storage facilities created/being created in the state. The representative from NHB also corroborated the same.
- The TC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed processing / value addition in the project. The proposed main facility – FLI cum PC is located within the Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand which itself is in the catchment area.

Recommendation:

The Technical Committee recommends the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 65, the eligible project cost of ₹ 1315.78 lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 966.89 Lakh.

C. IMAC Observations & Recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC noted that the proposed catchment regions of the project have substantial production of raw materials required for proposed processing / value addition in the project.
- Based on the production details and distance of the proposed main facility - FLI cum PC from the catchment areadistricts, IMAC noted that FLI cum PC, located within the Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand, is in the catchment area.

IMAC Recommendation:

The IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 65, the eligible project cost of ₹ 1315.78 lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 966.89 Lakh.



4. M/s. M.A. Cold Chain Infrastructure, Palakkad, Kerala

A. IMAC Decision dated 21.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to TC for re-consideration to ascertain the viability of the project in relation to the processing / storage facilities being created at the Mega Food Park of KINFRA at Palakkad (assisted by MoFPI) and also the distance between the two locations.

B. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

- The TC noted that the proposal was referred back to TC by IMAC to ascertain the viability of the project in relation to the processing / storage facilities being created at the Mega Food Park of KINFRA at Palakkad (assisted by MoFPI) and also the distance ~~between the two locations.~~
- The TC observed that the distance between two locations i.e. proposed location of the project and the Mega Food Park approved by MoFPI in Palakkad, Kerala is approximately 2.8 km.
- However, the TC noted that the capacities of common facilities (as required by the project) available in the Mega Food Park will not be sufficient for the proposed project (as appraised by the lending bank). Hence, shifting the project inside the Mega Food Park with the proposed project components may not be viable for the project. This was further confirmed by the representative of the Mega Food Park Division during the meeting.

Recommendation:

The Technical Committee recommends the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 69, the eligible project cost of ₹ 2883.10 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 1355.77 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 lakh as per Scheme guidelines).

C. IMAC Observations & recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC observed that the distance between two locations i.e. proposed location of the project and the Mega Food Park approved by MoFPI in Palakkad, Kerala is approximately 2.8 km.
- IMAC further observed that based on the data on the capacities of common facilities available in the Mega Food Park in relation to the proposed capacities required by the project, may not be sufficient for the proposed project (as appraised by the lending bank).



Hence, shifting the project inside the Mega Food Park with the proposed project components may not be viable for the project.

- IMAC, accordingly, approved the proposed facilities to be created at the proposed location of Survey no. 514/1 part, 515/1- part, 2-part, 6-part, 9-part, 516/2 part, 3 part, 517/4 part, 518/1 part and 519/4 part, KINFRA, Pudukkottai Central village, Palakkad Taluk, Palakkad district, Kerala.

IMAC Recommendation:

The IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 69, the eligible project cost of ₹ 2883.10 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 1355.77 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 lakh as per Scheme guidelines).



5. M/s. Varma Marine, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh

A. IMAC Decision dated 31.08.2020:

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and referred back the proposal to TC for re-consideration for assessing the existing Shrimp Processing Capacities in relation to the raw material availability in West Godavari district and also possibilities of relocating the project in the Mega Food Park.

B. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

- The TC noted that the proposal was referred back to TC by IMAC for assessing the existing Shrimp Processing Capacities in relation to the raw material availability in West Godavari district and also possibilities of relocating the project in the Mega Food Park.
- The TC observed that the production of shrimps in West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh is about 3 times higher than the (existing / being created) total processing capacity (IQF) in West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh.
- Further, the TC noted the clarification submitted by the promoter stating that as per the guidelines of state pollution control board (i.e. for discharge of every 10,000 L wastewater, 1-acre land is required) they need about 8-10 acres of land for release of treated wastewater, which may not be provided by the Mega Food Park.
- The Technical Committee advised the division to schedule a meeting of the promoters with the SPV/promoters of the Mega Food Park for understanding of the situation pertaining to allocation of land in Mega Food Park.

Recommendation

The Technical Committee deferred the proposal for further discussion along with the SPV / Promoter of the Mega Food Park, West Godavari.

C. Technical Committee (TC) observations & recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

Observations:

- The representative of the Mega Food Park who was present during the TC meeting confirmed that it may not be feasible to provide land of 8-10 acres for release of treated wastewater within the Mega Food Park. Further, representative also informed that the rate of land per acre is around Rs. 1.25 crores in Mega Food Park.
- Further, TC noted that the applicant has already obtained all statutory permissions for the project such as Approval of Building Plan, CLU, Consent to Establish, etc. at the

proposed location and are in a position to start the implementation of the project immediately.

- The TC noted that relocation of the proposed project within the Mega Food Park may not be viable due to lack of desired land area for discharge of treated wastewater as required by the Pollution Control Board. The TC also noted that the cost of acquiring land of 8-10 acres in Mega Food Park would escalate the total project cost by another 10-12 crores, which may make the project financially unviable.
- In view of the aforesaid constraints at the Mega Food Park, TC opined that the proposal to be implemented at the proposed location of R. S. no. 361-1, Village Mogalturu, Mandal Mogalturu, Dist. West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh.

Recommendation:

The Technical Committee recommends the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the revised score of 70, the eligible project cost of ₹ 2184.50 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 1064.98 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 lakh as per Scheme guidelines).

D. IMAC Observations & Recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC, based on the production data of proposed raw materials (Shrimps) in the West Godavari district, observed that there exists surplus production and is available for the proposed capacity of processing / value addition for the project.
- Further, IMAC was apprised that the relocation of the proposed project within the Mega Food Park may not be viable due to lack of desired land area (8-10 acres) for discharge of treated wastewater as required by the Pollution Control Board.
- IMAC after discussion approved the project to be set up at the proposed location of R. S. no. 361-1, Village Mogalturu, Mandal Mogalturu, Dist. West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh.

IMAC Recommendation:

The IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the revised score of 70, the eligible project cost of ₹ 2184.50 Lakh and eligible grant of ₹. 1064.98 Lakh (Restricted to ₹ 1000.00 lakh as per Scheme guidelines).



6. M/s. Nagaland Agro Development and Logistics, Dimapur, Nagaland

Technical Committee Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

The TC did not consider the proposal for further consideration due to lack of documents required for assessment of basic eligibility of the proposal, as per the scheme guidelines.

IMAC observations & Recommendations dated 09.11.2020:

- IMAC noted that the applicant has not uploaded the basic eligibility documents required as per clause 8 (a), (b), (c), (d), (k) & (m) of the guidelines, such as net worth supporting documents, bank appraisal note as well as term loan sanction letter along with the non-commencement certificate for commercial operation, details of the main project location as well as catchment area for the proposed project, etc.

IMAC Recommendation:

The IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of lack of documents required for assessment of basic eligibility of the proposal, as per the scheme guidelines.



7. NB Natural Food Products, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm that the FLI cum processing is in the catchment area.

Technical Committee Observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed that the applicant has proposed the raw material as Cauliflower, Okra, Tomato, Mango, Papaya, Banana, and there is an abundance of raw material in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 80, eligible project cost of ₹2079.34 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹995.62 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 80, eligible project cost of ₹2079.34 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹995.62 lakh.



8. Funwave Foods LLP, Morbi, Gujarat

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm that the FLI cum processing is in the catchment area.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee observed the following:

- a) The applicant has proposed the raw material as Potato. However, raw material i.e. potato is not available in in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.
- b) As per the criterion no. 4 (a) of the guidelines "Farm Level Infrastructure, which may include processing center, situated in the catchment area of the targeted produce". The applicant has not proposed the Farm Level Infrastructure (FLI) in the catchment area of the targeted produce.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible as per para 4 of the scheme guidelines i.e. set up Farm Level Infrastructure.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

Observations:

- a) The applicant has proposed the raw material as Potato and processable variety of Potato for French Fries is adequately available in Banaskantha and Sabarkantha Districts.
- b) The promoter has justified that Morbi is near to Seaport and this will help in export of finished product.

Decision:

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 70 [Score Sheet attached in **Annexure I**], eligible project cost of ₹2461.54 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹1226.72 lakh [Restricted at ₹1000.00 lakh as per Scheme Guidelines] [Grant Calculation Sheet attached in **Annexure II**].



9. Foods and Inns Limited, Valsad, Gujarat

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm that the FLI cum processing is in the catchment area.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed that the applicant has proposed the raw material as Mango, Guava, papaya, Tomato and there is an abundance of raw material in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 80, eligible project cost of ₹1979.94 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹889.09 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 80, eligible project cost of ₹1979.94 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹889.09 lakh.



10. R.K. Food Products, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed the following:

- a) The applicant has proposed the raw material as Peas, Cabbage, Cauliflower, Onion, Radish, Potato, Okra, and there is an abundance of raw material in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.
- b) Committee member from NHB has confirmed the total production of peas as 97000 MT in Uttarakhand region.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 75, eligible project cost of ₹1386.97 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹1022.32 lakh [Restricted at ₹1000.00 lakh as per Scheme Guidelines].

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 75, eligible project cost of ₹1386.97 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹1022.32 lakh [Restricted at ₹1000.00 lakh as per Scheme Guidelines].



11. P Star Agro Products, Hoshiarpur, Punjab

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC approved the DH at Jalandhar as eligible and referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm that the FLI cum processing is in the catchment area.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed that the applicant has proposed the raw material as Okra, Green Peas, Potato, Carrots, Cabbage, Chilly, cauliflower, and other vegetables, and there is an abundance of raw material in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 72, revised eligible project cost of ₹1122.44 lakh and revised eligible grant-in-aid of ₹524.18 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 72, eligible project cost of ₹1122.44 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹524.18 lakh.



12. M/s Bright Food Industries, Rampur, Uttar Pradesh

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm that the FLI cum processing is in the catchment area.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed that the applicant has proposed the raw material as Green peas, carrot, okra, cauliflower, broccoli, bell pepper, sweet corn grains, baby corn, spinach, mint leaves, coriander, celery, thyme, basil, mango, litchi, papaya, peach, apricot, and there is an abundance of raw material in the catchment area proposed by the applicant.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 66, eligible project cost of ₹1407.79 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹681.03 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

Observation:

- a) The proposed catchment area of the project has substantial production of raw material i.e. Green peas, carrot, okra, cauliflower, broccoli, bell pepper, sweet corn grains, baby corn, spinach, mint leaves, coriander, celery, thyme, basil, mango, litchi, papaya, peach, apricot required for proposed processing/ value addition in the project.
- b) Lending bank vide email dated 16.10.2020 confirmed the issuance of Bank Appraisal Note & In-principle sanction letter

Decision:

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 66, eligible project cost of ₹1407.79 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹681.03 lakh.



13. Eswara Foods, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC Decision dated 31.08.2020:

IMAC referred back the proposal to Technical Committee to confirm whether the blurred documents are same as the documents submitted by the applicant post TC vide their email dated 31.07.2020 and if so, then re-calculate the net worth.

Technical Committee observations and Recommendation dated 01.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed the following:

- a) The applicant vide email dated 31.07.2020 has submitted the readable net worth supporting documents.
- b) The submitted networth supporting documents are similar to the documents uploaded at the time of EoI.
- c) On the basis of the submitted documents, the net worth of the applicant firm i.e. M/s Eswara Foods is ₹1552.65 lakh, which is more than 1.5 times of the net worth required.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 65, eligible project cost of ₹2075.37 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹984.81 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 65, eligible project cost of ₹2075.37 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹984.81 lakh.



14. Bhimjyani Warehousing Cold Chain Private Limited, Sonipat, Haryana

Technical Committee Recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

The applicant has applied the proposal under General category. However, the scheme is open only for SC/ST/NER category.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and rejected the proposal as the applicant is of general category and the scheme is only open for SC/ST/NER category.



15. Jaya Lakshmi Sea Foods Pvt Ltd, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC Decision dated 31.08.2020:

IMAC deferred the case to Technical Committee to re-examine the facilities proposed.

Technical Committee Observations and Recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

Observations:

Technical Committee re-considered the proposal and observed the applicant has initially proposed 3 Nos. x 0.75 TPH of IQF. However, the cooking line was not proposed in the project for 3rd IQF Line. Accordingly, TC recommended 2 nos. of IQF which includes 1 no. of IQF of 0.75 TPH for Hardening and 1 no. of IQF of 0.75 TPH for Glazing.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 75, eligible project cost of ₹3523.80 lakh and approved grant-in-aid of ₹1761.90 lakh (Restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per Scheme Guidelines).

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with score of 75, eligible project cost of ₹3523.80 lakh and approved grant-in-aid of ₹1761.90 lakh [Restricted at ₹1000.00 lakh as per Scheme Guidelines].

16. EM Global LLP, Rangareddy, Telangana

IMAC Decision dated 24.08.2020:

IMAC rejected the proposal, as the proposal does not meet the basic eligibility criterion 8 (d) of the scheme guidelines.

Technical Committee Observations and Recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

Observations:

- a) The lending bank has confirmed that the applicant i.e. M/s EM Global LLP has submitted the processing fee towards the issuance of in principle sanction letter.
- b) The lending bank i.e. Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. confirmed the issuance of in principle sanction/appraisal on the day of IMAC meeting i.e. 24.08.2020.

Recommendation:

Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 62, eligible project cost of ₹1208.25 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹585.95 lakh.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation dated 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 62, eligible project cost of ₹1208.25 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹585.95 lakh.



17. Request of the promoter for revision in grant-in-aid in respect of Integrated Cold Chain Project of M/s Mewar Foods Pvt. Ltd., Jaipur, Rajasthan

Technical Committee Observations and Recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

Observations:

- a) The applicant has requested for increase in nos. and total capacities of the silos & HMST tanks, the TC recommended to bear the additional cost on the components by promoter only.
- b) The applicant has also requested for change in no. and capacity of Bulk Milk Coolers from 2 Nos. x 5 KL to 1 No. x 5 KL. TC further observed that the applicant has already have the tie-up with AMUL, after changing the nos. and capacities of the BMCs there will no effect on the project viability.
- c) Revision in grant in aid on certain components.

Recommendation:

- a) Upward revision of grant-in-aid from ₹574.40 lakh to ₹630.53 lakh.
- b) Change in no. and capacity of Insulated Milk Tanker from 3 Nos. x 20 KL to 1 No. x 20 KL; and
- c) Change in no. and capacity of Bulk Milk Coolers from 2 Nos. x 5 KL to 1 No. x 5 KL.

IMAC Observations and Recommendation date 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the following:

- d) Upward revision of grant-in-aid from ₹574.40 lakh to ₹630.53 lakh [Restricted to ₹620.00 lakh as grant sought by the applicant]
- e) Change in no. and capacity of Insulated Milk Tanker from 3 Nos. x 20 KL to 1 No. x 20 KL; and
- f) Change in no. and capacity of Bulk Milk Coolers from 2 Nos. x 5 KL to 1 No. x 5 KL.



18. Request of promoter for release of grant related to reefer vans in respect of Integrated Cold Chain project of M/s RGA Fresh Fruits Pvt. Ltd.

Technical Committee Observations and Recommendation dated 08.10.2020:

- a) Earlier the address mentioned in the RC copies was R/o Him Fresh Produce Covil Bagori, PO Gumma, Teshsil Kotkhai, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh-171202 and Ministry has not approved any component/ facility at the aforementioned address.
- b) Accordingly, grant was deducted for the Reefer Vehicles at the time of release of 3rd instalment of grant in aid.
- c) The promoter has now submitted the revised RC copies with address of Rewali, Bithal, PO Shmathla, Kumarsain, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh-172030 [Address of one of the approved FLI].

IMAC Observations and Recommendation date 09.11.2020:

IMAC noted the observations of Technical Committee and approved the request of promoter for release of grant of ₹57.75 lakh in respect of reefer vehicles.



Score Sheet of M/s Funwave Foods LLP

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks obtained	Revised Score	Remarks
	Adequate Volume and Wider Mix of Raw Materials (Suitability of Location, Project Site and Status of Possession of Land)	20	20	20	
	i. Availability of raw materials a. Raw material availability backed by latest data (not later than 3 years old) published by Central/ State Government: 5 marks b. Raw material availability data not published but duly authenticated by concerned block/district/ state authority: 3 marks c. If no raw material data has been submitted by the applicant: 0 mark Note: Source of raw material availability should be clearly mentioned in the DPR	5	5	5	The applicant has provided state wise raw material availability data for the year 2018-19 by National Horticulture Board (NHB). <i>Source:</i> http://agricoop.gov.in/sites/default/files/ilo_vepdfmerged_3.pdf (DPR Pg. No. 15)
	ii. Suitability of project location(s) for proposed operations and their connectivity through road, railways etc. If land is in close proximity to state/national highway/freight corridors/golden quadrilateral/ sea port marks may be awarded, as follows: a. Within 2 Kms: 5 marks b. 2 to 5 Kms: 3 marks c. More than 5 to 10 Kms: 2 marks d. Above 10 Kms : 0	5	5	5	The proposed land for main facility is 0.4 Kms from SH 24. As per the coordinates 22.508 70.751, the location is within 2 kms from SH 24. (DPR Pg. No. 11)
	iii. Status of project land a. Main facility land in possession of the Applicant with approval for industrial use: 10 marks b. Main facility land in possession of the Applicant without approval for industrial use: 5 marks	10	10	10	The applicant has uploaded copy of sale deed for main facility land i.e. Survey No. 164/2p1, 164/2p2, 165/2p2, Rajkot-Morbi Road, Village Chhattar, Taluka Tanakara, District Morbi, Gujarat in favor of

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks obtained	Revised Score	Remarks
					Funwave Foods LLP along with approval for industrial use.
2	Experience in Cold Chain/ Food Processing: a. Already in cold chain and food processing business having annual turnover of ₹5 crore and above during previous year:10 marks b. If in cold chain or food processing business having annual turnover of ₹5 crore and above during previous year:7 marks c. If in cold chain or food processing business having annual turnover of less than ₹5 crore during the previous year:4 marks	10	0	0	The applicant has no experience in cold chain/food processing.
3	Economic Viability of the proposal Economic Viability of Project Based on Bank Appraisal (Key financial parameters viz. IRR, DSCR, RoI) (The average of score for IRR and DSCR would be considered. IRR and DSCR will be taken from bank appraisal note. In case, IRR and/ or DSCR are not given in the bank appraisal note then the same will be taken from the DPR.) Max marks shall be given for IRR [without grant] = 5 marks a. 5 marks shall be given if IRR >20% b. 3 marks shall be given if IRR is between 15%-20% c. 1 mark shall be given if IRR is between 10%-15% d. Nil marks shall be given if IRR is less than 10% Max marks shall be given for DSCR = 5 marks a. 5 marks shall be given if DSCR > 2.5 b. 3 marks shall be given if DSCR is between 2.0 and 2.5 c. 1 mark shall be given if DSCR is between 1.5 and 2.0 d. Nil marks shall be given if DSCR <1.5)	10	10	10	
			5	5	IRR of the project without grant is 20.67%
			5	5	Average DSCR of the project is 2.72 (As per Bank Appraisal Pg. No. 19)
4	Project proposed to be set up in Districts having no projects sanctioned by the MoFPI in any of its scheme.	5	5	5	The applicant has proposed to set up main facility in Village Chhattar,

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks obtained	Revised Score	Remarks
					Taluka Tanakara, District Morbi, Gujarat and no projects have been sanctioned by MoFPI in the same District.
5	<p>The project proposed to be set up in States providing concessions/ benefits on the following:</p> <p>(i) power tariff subsidy (1 mark) (ii) Exemption form stamp duty on land (1 mark) (iii) Capital subsidy (1 mark) (iv) Interest subvention on loan (1 mark) (v) Reimbursement of SGST (1 mark) [Supporting document to be submitted]</p>	5	5	5	<p>The applicant has not submitted documents pertaining to the same.</p> <p>(i) power tariff subsidy at the rate of Re. 1 per unit (ii) 50%</p>
					<p>reimbursement of Stamp Duty/ Registration Fee/ Conversion Fee paid on sale/lease/transfer of land and 100% reimbursement of Stamp Duty/ Registration Fee/ Conversion Fee.</p> <p>(iii) Capital Investment Subsidy @ 25% of eligible project cost subject to maximum ₹500.00 lakhs.</p> <p>(iv) Interest Subsidy @ 7.5% on Term Loan with maximum amount of ₹400.0 Lakhs for a period of 5 years</p> <p>(v) Reimbursement up to the extent of SGST paid in intrastate sale</p>

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks obtained	Revised Score	Remarks
					of the specified goods subject to condition.
6	Proposed investment in Cold Chain Components	15	15	5	
	a. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure, distribution hub and processing at different locations along with reefer/ insulated vans.	15	15		The applicant has proposed to set up distribution hub, farm level infrastructure and processing at different locations along with reefer van.
	b. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure and distribution hub but have combined the processing facility either at farm level infrastructure or distribution hub along with reefer/ insulated vans.	10			
	c. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure with or without processing along with reefer/ insulated vans.	5		5	TC recommended not to consider the DH and FLI due to close proximity of location to main facility. Accordingly scoring has been revised.
	d. Proposals for setting up irradiation unit.	10			
7	Project proposing value addition/processing facilities such as IQF, vacuum freeze drying, spiral/tunnel/blast freezers, etc. for manufacturing of chilled/frozen value added products including value added fruits & vegetables/ meat/ fisheries/ RTE/ frozen products, etc.	10	10	10	The applicant has proposed to set up Integrated French Fries line of capacity 2.5 MT/Hr. and Integrated Specialty with freezer of capacity 1 MT/hr.
8	Proposals with investment in reefer transport with capacity not less than 70 MT	5	0	0	The applicant has proposed reefer vans of capacity 15 MT
9	Proposed Investment in Farm Level	10	10	10	The proposed

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks obtained	Revised Score	Remarks
	Infrastructure [Excluding Cost of Project Land] a. Upto 30% of Project Cost: 5 marks b. More than 30% to 50%: 7 marks c. More than 50% : 10 marks				investment at farm level infrastructure excluding cost of land is more than 50% of the project cost.
10	Leveraging of Investment in the Project	10	5	5	
	For General Areas				
	a. Proposed equity investment excluding land < 2 times of grant sought: 5 marks		5	5	The applicant has proposed equity investment of ₹700.00 lakh which is less than 2 times of the grant sought i.e. ₹1000.00 lakh
	b. Proposed equity investment excluding land >= 2 times of grant sought: 10 marks				
	For Difficult Areas				
	a. Proposed equity investment excluding land < grant sought: 5 marks				
	b. Proposed equity investment excluding land >= grant sought: 10 marks				
	Total	100	80	70	



Grant Calculation Sheet of M/s Funwave Foods LLP

Funwave Foods LLP	
Plant and Machinery	

(₹ in lakh)										
Sr no	Particulars	Capacity	Qty	Proposed Cost	Cost as per C.E Mechanical Certificate	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
Farm Level Infrastructure at Village Mintana, Rajkot										
1	Cold Room	50 MT	1	18.00	18.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	TC recommends not to consider the same due to close proximity of the proposed location FLI (Collection Centre) to the main facility.
FLI cum Processing Facility at Survey No. 164/2p1, 164/2p2, 165/2p2, Rajkot-Morbi Road, Village Chhattar, Taluka Tanakara, District Morbi, Gujarat										
2	Refrigeration P&M		1	308.00	308.00	-	1879.90	50%	939.95	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical certificate
3	Integrated french fries line	2.5 mt/hr	1	983.00	983.00					
4	Integrated Speciality	1 mt/hr	1	512.00	512.00					

	line with freezer																				
5	Packaging machinery	1	35.00	35.00																	
6	Advance Ventilation system	1	41.90	41.90																	
7	Automation system- PLC system	1	9.50	9.50	10.00																As per MIDH norms
8	Material Handling system	1	42.00	42.00																	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
9	Lab equipment	1	9.00	9.00																	
10	Borewell, water tank & RO system	1	13.00	13.00																	
11	ETP	1	45.00	45.00																	
12	DG Set	1	22.00	22.00																	
13	Boiler with accessories	1	20.00	20.00																	
14	Transformer with accessories	1	20.00	20.00																	
15	Air compressor	1	15.00	15.00																	
16	Weigh bridge	1	8.00	8.00																	

[Handwritten signature]

17	Frozen Store at Distribution Hub	30 MT	1	16.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	TC recommends not to consider the same due to close proximity of the proposed DH location to the main facility.
18	Reefer vans	15 MT	1	27.00	27.00	30.00	27.00	35%	9.45	As per MoFPI norms
19	Dock leveler/ Shelter	2 no	2	7.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	50%	7.00	As per MIDH norms
A.	Total Plant & Machinery			2158.40			2124.40		1058.15	

ju

Funwave Foods LLP
Technical Civil Work

(₹ in Lakh)										
Sr no	Particulars	Area (in Sq. Mtr.)	Qty	Proposed Cost	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark	
1	Civil work for processing area	3201.27	1	208.57	320.13	208.57	50%	104.283	As per MoFPI norms	
3	PEB work	4577.83	1	232.66	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	The applicant has to clarify on the same.	
2	Civil work for frozen	867.68	1	34.16	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00		
4	Insulated panel		1	61.74	-	128.57	50%	64.29	Area of the same cannot be measure. The cost of the same has been considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.	
5	Panel accessories & doors		1	48.91						
6	Floor insulation		1	10.08						
	Pipeline insulation		1	7.84						
B.	Total Technical Civil Work			603.96		337.14		168.57		
	Grand Total (A+B)			2762.36		2461.54		1226.72		
	Grand Total (A+B)							1000.00		

Grant restricted to as per Scheme Guidelines

ln

19. M/s Shiva Agri Fresh, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

IMAC Decision (21.08.2020)

IMAC deferred the proposal and directed Technical Committee to accept the bank appraisal note submitted through mail dated 22.07.2020 and re-evaluate the proposal accordingly.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 60 and directed PMA to calculate the eligible project cost and eligible grant.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised that the bank appraisal note dated 09.03.2020 submitted by the applicant through mail dated 22.07.2020 has been accepted as a special case as the promoter had uploaded the final bank sanction letter along with application against the requirement of in-principle sanction/approval letter of term loan as stipulated in the scheme guidelines and as per direction of the then IMAC dated 21.08.2020. Appraisal note could not be uploaded due to technical error in the portal. IMAC was further apprised that all the eligibility criteria are being complied with by the project and eligible project cost has been calculated as Rs 1528.00 lakh and eligible grant as Rs 1000.00 lakh (restricted to maximum limit).

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 60, eligible project cost of Rs. 1528.00 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 1000.00 Lakh (restricted to maximum limit)



20. M/s DC Agro Project, Kathua, Jammu & Kashmir

IMAC Decision (24.08.2020)

IMAC deferred the proposal and directed Technical Committee to reconsider the same after getting confirmation/clarification from lending bank regarding the consideration of the submitted document as in-principle sanction letter.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 63 and directed the PMA to calculate the eligible project cost and eligible grant and include the same in the IMAC Agenda.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised that lending bank (SBI, SME Exim Branch) has confirmed vide mail dated 01.10.2020 that the letter issued by them (SME EXIM Branch) on 29/06/2020 is in-principle sanction letter in favour of M/s DC Agro Project for its Integrated Gold Chain Project at Jammu and Kashmir and the detailed note dated 29/06/2020 issued by them is in-principle appraisal note issued by them. Based on the confirmation mentioned above, all the eligibility criteria and scoring were reviewed by the TC in accordance with scheme guidelines and found complying with scheme guidelines. Further in pursuance to the TC decision, eligible project cost has been calculated as Rs 1824.27 lakh and eligible grant as Rs 1000.00 lakh (restricted to maximum limit).

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 63, eligible project cost of Rs. 1824.27 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 1000.00 Lakh (restricted to maximum limit).



21. M/s Castlerock Fisheries Pvt Ltd, SPSR Nellore, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC Decision (31.08.2020)

IMAC observed that many cold chain projects under marine/fishery sector have already been sanctioned in the coastal areas of Andhra Pradesh. Further, other projects for processing of fish and marine assisted by MPEDA/State Government are also functioning in the nearby areas. Therefore, IMAC advised to evaluate the capacity utilization of existing units and required capacity to be generated under marine/fishery sector in the state and thus IMAC deferred the proposal and directed the technical committee to place the proposal again before the IMAC with the above mentioned data.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 73, eligible project cost of Rs. 2608.25 lakh and grant-in-aid of Rs 1000 lakh (restricted to maximum limit).

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised that based on available data from Dept of fisheries, Andhra Pradesh, MPEDA and MoFPI (Cold Chain Scheme), it has been gathered that total processing capacity of fish in the Andhra Pradesh is 12,20,151 MT/Annum against total annual production of 40,17,466 MT in respect of fish and shrimps, which is around 30% of total production. Accordingly, TC recommended the further requirement of processing capacity generation in the state.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 73, eligible project cost of Rs. 2608.25 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 1000.00 Lakh (restricted to maximum limit).



22. M/s Mulpuri Aqua Processors Private Limited, Krishan, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC Decision (31.08.2020)

IMAC deferred the proposal and directed to conduct the virtual inspection of the existing facility to assess whether proposed facility is an expansion/up-gradation of existing facility.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 71, eligible project cost of Rs. 1365.86 lakh and grant-in-aid of Rs 672.76 lakh.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

- a) IMAC was apprised that based on virtual inspection of existing facility, existing facility is mainly for shrimps processing whereas the proposed facility is only for fish processing. Technology to be used in the proposed facility is also different from the existing processing facility. It was further apprised that buyers demand the separate processing facilities for fish and shrimps so that there should not be mixing of products, which was also supported by representative from MPEDA in the TC meeting held on 01.10.2020.
- b) Facilities to be created have been proposed on different piece of land outside of the existing premises, which is separated by a boundary wall as per the requirement of scheme guidelines.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 71, eligible project cost of Rs. 1365.86 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 672.76 Lakh.



23. M/s Coastal Corporation Limited, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC Decision (31.08.2020)

IMAC observed that many cold chain projects under marine/fishery sector have already been sanctioned in the coastal areas of Andhra Pradesh. Further, other project for processing of fish and marine assisted by MPEDA/State Government are also functioning in the nearby areas. Therefore, IMAC advised to evaluate the capacity utilization of existing units and required capacity to be generated under marine/fishery sector in the state and thus IMAC deferred the proposal and directed the technical committee to place the proposal again before the IMAC with the above mentioned data.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 74, eligible project cost of Rs. 3511.95 lakh and grant-in-aid of Rs 1000.00 lakh (restricted to maximum limit).

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised based on available data from Dept. of fisheries, Andhra Pradesh, MPEDA and MoFPI (Cold Chain Scheme), it has been gathered that total processing capacity of fish in the Andhra Pradesh is 12,20,151 MT/Annum against total annual production of 40,17,466 MT in respect of fish and shrimps, which is around 30% of total production.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 74, eligible project cost of Rs. 3511.95 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 1000.00 Lakh (restricted to maximum limit).



24. M/s T J Foods Cold Chain, Dimapur, Nagaland

IMAC Decision (31.08.2020)

IMAC deferred the proposal and directed to conduct the virtual inspection of the existing facility to assess whether the proposed processing facility cannot be adjusted in the existing premises and proposed facility is an expansion/up-gradation of existing facility.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 68, eligible project cost of Rs. 750.40 lakh and grant-in-aid of Rs 550.58 lakh.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised the following:

- i.) Area of existing processing facility is very less i.e. 104 sqm only, whereas the proposed facility to be created in 2487 Sqm area.
- ii.) Proposed facility envisaged separate modern infrastructure, cold chain and processing facilities such as IQF (300 kg/hr), Blast Freezer (1 MT/hr), Freezer Rooms (30 MT), Meat Processing line (500 kg/hr), Reefer vans (20 MT- 3nos), etc, which are neither available nor accommodated in the existing premises.

IMAC observed in the photograph shown in the meeting that the facility proposed to be created is on different piece of land, which is separated by a brick boundary wall from the existing facility.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 68, eligible project cost of Rs. 750.40 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 550.58 Lakh.



25. M/s Suzaina Foods, Navasari, Gujarat

IMAC Decision (31.08.2020)

IMAC deferred the proposal and directed the Technical Committee to verify the forward linkage of the project i.e. agreement with M/s Pooja Foods, New Zealand and place the proposal again before IMAC with factual position in this regard.

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with total score of 74, eligible project cost of Rs. 1571.61 lakh and grant-in-aid of Rs 1000.00 lakh subject to confirmation of ITDP from Ministry of Tribal Affairs.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC was apprised that applicant has submitted letters of intent from the different exporters/buyers in support of forward linkage of the project. Moreover, authentication mails/letters have also been received from the exporters/buyers.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with total score of 74, eligible project cost of Rs. 1571.61 lakh and grant in aid of Rs. 1000.00 Lakh (restricted to maximum limit) subject to confirmation of ITDP area from Ministry of Tribal Affairs.



26. M/s LGST

TC Recommendation (01.10.2020)

The Technical committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible due to non-submission of requisite documents stipulated in the clause no 9 of scheme guidelines dated 17.12.2019.

IMAC Observation (09.11.2020)

IMAC observed that applicant has not submitted requisite documents such as DPR, Demand Drafts, Bank Sanction letters, appraisal note, etc.

IMAC Decision (09.11.2020)

IMAC approved the recommendation of the technical committee as ineligible due to non-submission of requisite documents as per scheme guidelines dated 17.12.2019.

