

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE INTER - MINISTERIAL APPROVAL COMMITTEE (IMAC) CONSTITUTED UNDER THE SCHEME FOR INTEGRATED COLD CHAIN AND VALUE ADDITION INFRASTRUCTURE HELD ON 27.08.2018

In continuation of IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018 & 20.08.2018, a meeting of the Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) constituted under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure was held under the Chairpersonship of Hon'ble Minister, FPI on 27.08.2018 to consider the proposals received against the EOI dated 10.03.2018 for grant of financial assistance. The list of participants is at **Annexure-I**.

2. Shri Parag Gupta, Joint Secretary & Convener of IMAC welcomed the Hon'ble Minister and other members of the Committee. IMAC considered 37 proposals pertaining to Dairy sector, 8 proposals of Irradiation sector & 10 proposals of mixed sector from states of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand & West Bengal and reviewed the recommendations made by the Technical Committee against each proposal.

3. The applicants were also invited to the IMAC meeting. The applicants or representatives of the proposals presented their case before IMAC and the eligibility criteria, marks obtained against each criteria & eligible grant were informed to them. The submissions/clarifications, if any, made by the applicants based on the interaction, have been factored into the final decision of the IMAC.

4. Based on the deliberations, IMAC approved 34 proposals as eligible. Details of the eligible proposals along with grant is at **Annexure-II**. IMAC rejected 21 proposals as in-eligible as per **Annexure-III**.

5. Other decision

IMAC directed that in case of expansion projects pre-inspection shall be conducted before issue of approval letter to ascertain the existing facilities and provision for distinct & separate TCW & Plant & Machineries for proposed project.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair and all present.



**List of participants of Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) meeting
Chaired by Hon'ble Minister (FPI) held on 27.08.2018 in Room No. 120, Panchsheel
Bhawan, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi.**

1. Hon'ble Minister, FPI - In Chair
2. Shri J.P. Meena, Secretary, FPI
3. Smt. Pushpa Subrahmanyam, OSD, FPI
4. Smt. Gargi Kaul, AS&FA, FPI
5. Shri Tilak Raj Sharma, Joint Director, Department of Industries, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh
6. Shri Akhil Kumar, Director, Telangana State of Food Processing Society
7. Shri Anupam Lal Kusumakar, Manager, NABARD
8. Dr. Mahipal Singh, Principal GFSTC, Department of Horticulture & Food Processing, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh
9. Shri Sibin.C, Special Secretary, Food Processing, Punjab
10. Dr. Suresh Rau, Dy. Director Horti., Govt. of Uttarakhand
11. Shri Karan Sharma, Manager, Grant Thornton
12. Shri Mandeep Ahuja, Manager, PWC
13. Shri Sandeep Bohra, Manager, IL&FS
14. Shri Parag Gupta, JS, FPI – Convener

Officials in attendance:

1. Shri Vineet Sharma, Director, FPI
2. Shri Girindra Joshi, Under Secretary, FPI
3. Shri Sanjay Gupta, SIO, FPI
4. Shri. S.N. Ahmed, AD, FPI



Annexure-II (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 27.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	Surat District Co-op. Milk Producers Union Ltd.	Surat	Gujarat	Dairy	9014.00	7220.26	1000.00	3581.22	1000.00	85	0	0	85	90.43
2	Vision Fresh & Frozen	Una	Himachal Pradesh	Dairy	2113.00	1303.54	1000.00	965.36	965.36	60.5	0	0	60.5	64.36
3	The Uralungal Labour Contract Cooperative Society Ltd	Kozhikode	Kerala	Dairy	2808.62	1991.76	947.49	953.29	947.49	69	0	0	69	73.40
4	Healthy Foods	Nashik	Maharashtra	Dairy	1011.33	754.88	360.00	359.89	359.89	75.5	0	0	75.5	80.32
5	PMD Milk And Foods	Pune	Maharashtra	Dairy	1560.00	976.92	466.00	467.87	466.00	66	0	0	66	70.21
6	Modern Duddh Utpadak Udhhyog Private Limited	Sangli	Maharashtra	Dairy	2737.97	2169.12	1000.00	1060.70	1000.00	75	0	0	75	79.79

[Handwritten Signature]

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
7	Coimbatore District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Coimbatore	Tamil Nadu	Dairy	778.24	68.96	288.34	33.61	33.61	60	0	0	60	63.83
8	Chandana Milk Products	Rangareddy	Telangana	Dairy	2895.00	2160.74	1000.00	1033.58	1000.00	72	0	0	72	76.60
9	Karimnagar Milk Producer Company Limited	Karimnagar	Telangana	Dairy	6306.00	2555.30	1000.00	1246.15	1000.00	74	0	0	74	78.72
10	Haldiram Snacks Pvt Ltd	Gautam Buddha Nagar	Uttar Pradesh	Dairy	4727.57	3160.9	1000.00	1551.17	1000.00	70	0	0	70	74.47
11	Tasty Dairy Specialties Limited	Kanpur Dehat	Uttar Pradesh	Dairy	4160.12	2831.27	1000.00	1388.02	1000.00	75	0	0	75	79.79
12	Microtrol Sterilization Services Private limited	Bawal	Haryana	Irradiation Facility	1747.00	1476.32	756.00	738.16	738.16	59	0	0	59	62.77
13	Coffee Day Global Limited	Chikkamagaluru	Karnataka	Mixed	3204.89	1968.9	1000.00	951.90	951.90	82	5	0	87	92.55



1. Surat District Co-op. Milk Producers Union Ltd., Surat, Gujarat

Dr. Pradeep J. Patel, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 85, total project cost of Rs. 9014.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 3581.22 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 85 out of 94 marks (90.43 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 9014.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 3581.22 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

2. Vision Fresh & Frozen, Una, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. S.K. Jha, promoter of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 & 31.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 60.5, total project cost of Rs. 2113.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 965.36 lakh.

IMAC, after detailed discussion, observed that the proposed number and capacity of Milk Chilling Centers (MCC) are not in proportion to the proposed number and capacity of Bulk Milk Coolers (BMC) and directed to consider the MCC at the ratio of 1:3 of BMC for grant calculation. Accordingly, directed the applicant to submit the revised Chartered Engineer (Mech) certificate indicating the revised cost and capacity of MCC & BMC. IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 60.5 out of 94 marks (64.36 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2113.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 965.36 Lakh subject to submission of the revised CE (Mech) certificate.

3. The Uralungal Labour Contract Cooperative Society Ltd, Kozhikode, Kerala

Shri. T. P. Sethumadhavan, Director of the applicant attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with

score of 75, total project cost of Rs. 2808.62 and eligible grant of Rs. 953.29 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 947.49 lakh the grant amount sought by the applicant).

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 69 out of 94 marks (73.40 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2808.62 and eligible grant of Rs. 953.29 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 947.49 lakh the grant amount sought by the applicant).

4. Healthy Foods, Nashik, Maharashtra

Shri. Sharad S. Avhad, partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 & 31.07.2108 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 75.5, total project cost of Rs. 1011.33 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 359.89 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 75.5 out of 94 marks (80.32 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 1011.33 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 359.89 lakh.

5. PMD Milk and Foods, Pune, Maharashtra

Shri. Hanmat Mohite, promoter of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07. 2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 66, total project cost of Rs. 1560.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.466.00 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 66 out of 94 marks (70.21 marks out of 100 marks) and eligible grant of Rs. 467.87 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 466.00 lakh the grant amount sought by the applicant).



6. Modern Dudh Utpadak Udhyog Private Limited, Sangli, Maharashtra

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 75, total project cost of Rs. 2737.97 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.1060.70 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 75 out of 94 marks (79.79 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2737.97 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.1060.70 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

7. Coimbatore District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

Shri. P. Rajesh Kumar, Asst. General Manager of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07. 2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 66, total project cost of Rs. 778.24 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 33.61 lakhs.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 60 out of 94 marks (63.83 marks of out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 778.24 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 33.61 lakhs.

8. Chandana Milk Products, Rangareddy, Telangana

Shri. R. Satyanarayana, partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07. 2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 73, total project cost of Rs. 2895.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 1033.58 lakh (Restricted to Rs 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).



IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. **Scoring Criterion No. 1 (V). Status of project land:**

IMAC revised the score against the criterion "Status of Project Land" from 0 to 5 considering that the uploaded document is a registered lease deed and copy of the back side of the document indicating registration details was presented by the applicant during the meeting indicating the date of registration is prior to the last date of submission of EoI.

b. **Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 72 out of 94 marks (76.60 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2895.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 1033.58 lakh (Restricted to Rs 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

9. Karimnagar Milk Producer Company Limited, Karimnagar, Telangana

Shri. Rajeshwar Rao, Chairman of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 & 13.08.2018 and TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on following accounts:

- i. Project location district mentioned in the CE (Civil) and CE (Mechanical) certificates is different from the district mentioned in the sanction letter and bank appraisal report.
- ii. DPR mentions different district as project location at different places.
- iii. Bank appraisal note state that the land acquisition for the proposed project is under process, however in the application to the Ministry it is mentioned that the land is in possession of the applicant.
- iv. Observed that the term loan sanction letter is over written and the same cannot be considered valid.

Applicant clarified during the meeting that the proposed location is Karimnagar and there is inadvertent error in the CE certificates. Applicant also clarified that they have got the location details updated in the sanction letter from the bank only.



IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 4. Backward Forward Linkages:

IMAC awarded 5 marks under the Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages, considering that the applicant has uploaded 13 agreements with individual Commission & Forwarding Agent in support of forward linkages and the score is revised from 0 to 5 marks in criterion no. 4 of Scoring.

b. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with score of 74 out of 94 marks (78.72 marks out of 100 marks) [Score Sheet is at **Annexure - A**], total project cost of Rs. 6306.00 lakh and eligible grant is Rs. 1246.15 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 Lakhs as per the scheme guidelines) [Grant Calculation Sheet is at **Annexure - B**].

10. Haldiram Snacks Pvt Ltd, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh

Shri. Sanjay Singhania, VP of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 76, total project cost of Rs. 4727.57 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 1551.17 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 70 out of 94 marks (74.47 marks out 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 4727.57 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 1551.17 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

11. Tasty Dairy Specialities Limited, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh

Shri. Arpit Mehta, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07. 2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 81, total project cost of Rs. 4160.12 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.1388.02 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered State and accordingly, IMAC revised the score against this criterion from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 75 out of 94 marks (79.79 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 4160.12 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.1388.02 lakh (Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per the scheme guidelines).

12. Microtrol Sterilization Services Private limited, Bawal, Haryana

Shri. V. Kalia, promoter of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 62, total project cost of Rs. 1747.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 738.16 lakhs.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. **Scoring Criterion No. 3. Economic Viability of the proposal:**

IMAC revised the score against the criterion of IRR from 3 to 0 as the promoter clarified during the meeting that the IRR is 60% as the calculated IRR details provided in the DPR is for the applicant company and is not project specific.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 59 out of 94 marks (62.77 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 1747.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 738.16 lakhs.

13. Coffee Day Global Limited, Chikkamangaluru, Karnataka

Shri. Manish Sachdeva, consultant of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC with a score of 88, total project cost of Rs. 3204.89 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 951.90 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 4. Backward Forward Linkages:

IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 marks are awarded under this scoring criterion considering that the applicant has submitted more than 10 MoUs with farmer groups/federations in support of backward linkages and the score is revised from 0 to 5 marks in criterion no.4.

b. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered states and in view of the same score against this criterion was revised from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 87 out of 94 marks (92.55 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 3204.89 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 951.90 lakh.



Annexure-II (Part-II)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 27.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	BAMUL	Ramnagara	Karnataka	Dairy	4944	1805.9	1000.00	875.9	875.9	65	0	0	65	69.15
2	Ideal Ice cream	Dakshin Kannada	Karnataka	Dairy	3654	2109.7	1000.00	1033.12	1000	81	0	0	81	86.17
3	Heritage Foods Limited	Thane	Maharashtra	Dairy	4606	2979.81	1000.00	1425.69	1000	85	0	0	85	90.43
4	Sangamner Taluka Sahakari Doodh Utpadak & Prakriya Sangh Ltd.	Ahmednagar	Maharashtra	Dairy	2788.8	1847.67	1000.00	851.87	851.87	76	0	0	76	80.85
5	Dairy Power Ltd	Nashik	Maharashtra	Dairy	4480	2533.1	1000.00	1193.99	1000	90	0	0	90	95.74

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)			Score				
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
6	S R Thorat Milk Products Pvt Ltd	Ahmednagar	Maharashtra	Dairy	6333	3253.08	1000.00	1573.69	1000	74	0	0	74	78.72
7	PRS Milk Products Private Limited	Fatehgarh Sahib	Punjab	Dairy	390.18	283.584	144.00	137.61	137.61	74	0	0	74	78.72
8	Erode District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Erode	Tamil Nadu	Dairy	2051.46	791.88	794.90	384.47	384.47	69	0	0	69	73.40
9	Gho Agro Private Limited	Villupuram	Tamil Nadu	Dairy	2484.07	2003.55	1000.00	839.2	839.2	82	0	0	82	87.23
10	Vaihabha Milk Products Private Limited	Nalgonda	Telangana	Dairy	3194	1829.17	1000.00	788.09	788.09	74	0	0	74	78.72
11	N K Marine Exports LLP	West Godavari	Andhra Pradesh	Marine	5250	2297.88	1000.00	1105.79	1000	65	0	2	63	67.02

1. Bengaluru Co-operative Milk Union Limited (BAMUL), Ramnagara, Karnataka

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018 and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 71 and grant of Rs 875.90 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 9: Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 65 out of 94 (69.15 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 4944.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 875.9 lakh.

2. Ideal Ice cream, Dakshin Kannad, Karnataka

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018. TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 87 and grant of Rs 1000.00 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 9- Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 81 out of 94 (86.17 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 3654.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 1033.12 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

3. Heritage Foods Limited, Thane, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018. TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 85 and grant of Rs 1000.00 lakh.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 85 out of 94 (90.43 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 4606.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 1425.69 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

4. Sangamner Taluka Sahakari Doodh Utpadak & Prakriya Sangh Ltd., Ahmednagar, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018 and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 76 and grant of Rs 851.87 lakh.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 76 out of 94 (80.85 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2788.80 lakh and grant of Rs. 851.87 lakh.



5. Dairy Power Ltd, Nashik, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **24th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 90 and grant of Rs 1000.00 lakh.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 90 out of 94 (95.74 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 4480.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 1193.99 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

6. S R Thorat Milk Products Pvt Ltd, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **24th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 74 and grant of Rs 1000 lakh.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 74 out of 94 (78.72 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 6333.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 1573.69 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

7. PRS Milk Products Private Limited, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **24th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 74 and grant of Rs 137.61 lakh.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a score of 74 out of 94 (78.72 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 390.18 lakh and grant of Rs. 137.61 lakh.

8. Erode District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited, Erode, Tamil Nadu

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **27th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 75 and grant of Rs 384.47 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 9- Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a revised score of 69 out of 94 (73.40 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2051.46 lakh and grant of Rs. 384.47 lakh.

9. Gho Agro Private Limited, Villupuram, Tamil Nadu

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **27th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 88 and grant of Rs 839.20 lakh.



IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 9- Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a revised score of 82 out of 94 (87.23 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2484.07 lakh and grant of Rs 839.20 lakh.

10. Vallhabha Milk Products Private Limited, Nalgonda, Telangana

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **20th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 80 and grant of Rs 788.09 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 9- Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a revised score of 74 out of 94 (78.72 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 3194.00 lakh and grant of Rs 788.09 lakh.

11. NK Marine Exports LLP, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **27th July 2018** and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 66 and grant of Rs 1000 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring criterion # 1.v- Status of project land:** Shri Nandvala Satyanarayana (Director), represented the applicant during the IMAC meeting. He submitted that under clause 4 of the GO issued on 19.02.2018 read with the A.P Agricultural Land (Conversion for Non-Agricultural Purposes) Rules, 2018 on payment of requisite One Time Conversion tax, the Land is deemed as converted and can be used for non-agricultural purpose by the declarant. In view of the above, IMAC revised the score in Status of project land from 5 to 10.
- b. **Scoring criterion # 1.i: Raw Material availability:** IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 noted that the website www.kisan.gov.in is not accessible, thus data from the website couldn't be verified. IMAC decided not to award the marks under this criterion if the applicant has indicated the source as www.kisan.gov.in. Accordingly, score has been revised in Raw Material Availability from 2 to 0.



c. **Scoring criterion # 9- Project proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC removed the scoring criteria for all the proposals. Subsequently, the score was revised from 6 to 0 under this criterion.

IMAC approved proposal as eligible with a revised score of 63 out of 94 (67.02 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 5250.00 lakh and grant of Rs 1105.79 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

Handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a vertical line and a horizontal stroke.

Annexure-II (Part-III)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 27.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	Sangam Milk Producer Company Limited	Guntur	Andhra Pradesh	Dairy	2800.38	2236.59	1000.0	1082.05	1000.00	74.5	0	0	74.5	79.26
2	Trimurti Dairy Farms	Pune	Maharashtra	Dairy	539.99	296.55	220.00	103.79	103.79	62.5	0	0	62.5	66.49
3	Indapur Dairy and Milk Products Limited	Pune	Maharashtra	Dairy	5000.00	1812.67	1000.0	876.97	876.97	63.0	0	0	63.0	67.02
4	Rajarambapu Patil Sahakari Duddh Sangh Maryadit	Sangli	Maharashtra	Dairy	2065.00	1195.76	800.00	573.13	573.13	61.0	0	0	61.0	64.89
5	The Salem Namakkal District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Ltd.	Salem	Tamil Nadu	Dairy	474.00	292.54	165.79	143.67	143.67	71.0	0	0	71.0	75.53

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)			Score				
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
6	New Era Dairy Engineers India Private Limited	Varanasi	Uttar Pradesh	Dairy	3657.00	1496.15	868.00	734.66	734.66	72.0	0	0	72.0	76.60
7	Jamnadas Industries	Indore	Madhya Pradesh	Irradiation	3080.34	1697.19	1000.0	759.65	759.65	63.0	5	0	68.0	72.34
8	Solas Industries	Mathura	Uttar Pradesh	Irradiation	2276.17	1249.09	825.00	671.80	671.80	58.0	3.5	0	61.5	65.43
9	Bright Food Industries	Rampur	Uttar Pradesh	F&V	1981.99	1354.09	900.00	649.00	649.00	58.0	5	0	63.0	67.02
10	Hind Tradex Foods LLP	Udham Singh Nagar	Uttarakhand	Ready to Eat	1799.85	1386.77	1000.0	950.25	950.25	70.0	0	0	70.0	74.47

Handwritten signature/initials

1. Sangam Milk Producer Company Limited, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

Shri K. Gopinadu, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 80.5, total project cost of Rs.2800.38 lakh and grant in aid of Rs.1082.05 lakh [Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. Scoring Criterion, No 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-**IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 74.5 out of total 94 marks (79.26 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2800.38 lakh and grant of Rs.1082.05 lakh [Restricted to Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

2. Trimurti Dairy Farms, Pune, Maharashtra

Shri Ravindra Sawant, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 & 13.08.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible with a score of 47.5, which is less than the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. IMAC observed that there is a totaling error of 1 mark in the agenda.** Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 47.5 to 48.5 marks.
- b. Scoring Criterion No. 2: Experience in Cold Chain & Food Processing-** IMAC awarded 4 marks under the Criterion No. 2: Experience in Cold Chain & Food Processing, considering the promoter is already in manufacturing of dairy products with a turnover of less than 5 crore as mentioned in the DPR. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 0 to 4 marks in criterion no. 2 of Scoring.



- c. **Scoring Criterion No. 5: Proposed Investment in Cold Chain Components:** IMAC awarded 5 marks under the Criterion No. 5: Proposed Investment in Cold Chain Components, considering that the applicant has also proposed to set up 12 Distribution Hubs as mentioned in the DPR submitted by the applicant. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 10 to 15 marks in criterion no. 5 of Scoring.
- d. **Scoring Criterion No. 8: Proposed Investment in Farm Level Infrastructure:** IMAC awarded 5 marks under the Criterion No. 8: Proposed Investment in Farm Level Infrastructure, considering that the applicant has proposed all the investment at FLI only. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 5 to 10 marks in criterion no.8 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 62.5 out of 94 marks (66.49 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 539.99 lakh and grant of Rs. 103.79 lakh [Grant calculation sheet is at **Annexure-C**].

3. Indapur Dairy and Milk Products Limited, Pune, Maharashtra

Shri R.C. Doshi, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 63, total project cost of Rs. 5000.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 876.97 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 63 out of 94 marks (67.02 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 5000.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 876.97 lakh.

4. Rajarambapu Patil Sahakari Dudh Sangh Maryadit, Sangli, Maharashtra

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 62, total project cost of Rs.2065.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 573.13 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 1(iii): Product Mix-** IMAC deducted 1 mark under the Criterion No. 1(iii): Product Mix as only milk processing is proposed in the

DPR. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 1 to 0 marks in criterion no. 1(iii) of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 61 out of 94 marks (65 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs.2065.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 573.13 lakh.

5. The Salem Namakkal District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Ltd., Salem, Tamil Nadu

Shri R.S. Pugalendhi, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 77, total project cost of Rs. 474.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 143.67 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9: Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-**IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 71 out of total 94 marks (75.53 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 474.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 143.67 lakh.

6. New Era Dairy Engineers India Private Limited, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

Shri S.P. Choudhary, representative of the applicant firm did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 78, total project cost of Rs. 3657.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 734.66 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9: Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-** IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 72 out of 94 marks (76.60 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 3657.00 lakh and grant of Rs. 734.66 lakh.

7. Jamnadas Industries, Indore, Madhya Pradesh

Shri Parth Agarwal, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 & 31.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 69, total project cost of Rs. 3080.34 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 759.65 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9: Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-**IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.
- b. **Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-**
IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 marks are awarded under this scoring criterion considering that the applicant has submitted 10 agreements in support of backward linkages and the score is revised from 5 to 10 marks in criterion no.4.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 68 out of 94 marks (72.34 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 3080.34 lakh and grant of Rs. 759.65 lakh.

8. Solas Industries, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Abhishek Mishra, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 64, total project cost of Rs. 2276.17 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 671.80 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:



a. Scoring Criterion No. 9: Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-

IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

b. Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-

IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, additional 3.5 marks are awarded under this scoring criterion considering that the applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage and the score is revised from 6 to 9.5 marks in criterion no.4.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 61.5 out of 94 marks (65.43 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2276.17 lakh and grant of Rs. 671.80 lakh.

9. Bright Food Industries, Rampur, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Asif Raja, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 64, total project cost of Rs. 1981.99 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 649.00 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 9: Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states-

IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

b. Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-

IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 marks are awarded under this scoring criterion considering that the applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage and the score is revised from 1 to 6 marks in criterion no.4.



IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC with a revised score of 63 out of 94 marks (67.02 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 1981.99 lakh and grant of Rs. 649.00 lakh.

10. Hind Tradex Foods LLP, US Nagar, Uttarakhand

Shri D.K. Singhal, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 74, total project cost of Rs. 1799.85 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 950.25 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 3: Economic Viability of Proposal**-IMAC observed that the RoI is 12.50%, thus, deducted 4 marks under the Criterion No. 3: Economic Viability of Proposal. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 4 to 0 marks in criterion no. 3 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 70 out of 94 marks (74.47 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 1799.85 lakh and grant of Rs. 950.25 lakh.



Annexure-III (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 27.08.2018

Sr. no	Name of the Applicant	District	State	Sector	Score		Project Cost (Rs. Lakh)	Grant Sought (Rs. Lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
					Out of 94	Out of 100			
1	Ganga Dairy Limited	Begusarai	Bihar	Dairy	-	-	1226.00	508.00	In Principle/ Final sanction letter not submitted
2	Image Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Osmanabad	Maharashtra	Dairy	-	-	2432.27	1000.00	Insufficient net worth and another project approved in cluster Scheme
3	Fresh N Natural Dairy Farms Private Limited	Ahmednagar	Maharashtra	Dairy	-	-	2264.00	900.00	Sanction letter prior to Eol, no distinct TCW and earlier project completion within last 2 years
4	SD Milk Pro Private Limited	Osmanabad	Maharashtra	Dairy	-	-	1042.00	305.00	Insufficient net worth, bank appraisal and Sanction letter prior to Eol
5	Himedia Laboratories	Thane	Maharashtra	Irradiation	-	-	2300.00	800.00	Bank appraisal not submitted

Sr. no	Name of the Applicant	District	State	Sector	Score		Project Cost (Rs. Lakh)	Grant Sought (Rs. Lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
					Out of 94	Out of 100			
	Private Limited			Facility					
6	KGS Agrotech Private Limited	Jaipur	Rajasthan	Irrigation Facility	-	-	3067.00	950.00	Bank appraisal not submitted
7	Vista Processed Foods Private Limited	Chittoor	Andhra Pradesh	Mixed	-	-	4250.21	1000.00	No new / distinct TCW proposed (Upgradation only)
8	ABN Indian Biotech Pvt Ltd	Sirmaur	Himachal Pradesh	Mixed	54.5	57.97	352.82	102.84	Less than the cut off score and existing building with no new TCW proposed
9	Karan Frozen and Cold Storage	Unnao	UP	Mixed	-	-	2959.85	925.00	Insufficient Net worth

l m

1. Ganga Dairy Limited, Begusarai, Bihar

Shri. Akhilesh Kumar, promoter of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria as per scheme guidelines:

- i. The applicant has not submitted the sanction letter from the lending bank for the proposed project.
- ii. The applicant has submitted a letter dated 29.05.2018 issued by SBI which indicates for "submission of additional documents so that the proposal may be submitted to sanctioning authority".

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of non-submission of the in-principle / final term loan sanction letter from the lending bank.

2. Image Industries (India) Pvt. Ltd., Osmanabad, Maharashtra

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria as per the scheme guidelines:

- i. The assessed net worth of the applicant (Rs.1197.19 lakh) as per the balance sheet as at 31st March 2017 is less than 1.5 times the grant sought (Rs. 1500.00 lakh). The net worth of the applicant company as per the provisional balance sheet as at 28th Feb, 2018 is Rs. 2085.87 lakh, but supporting documents have not been submitted.
- ii. The applicant is an ongoing private limited company incorporated on 27.10.1986 in the name of M/s. Warana Food Products Pvt. Ltd. Later in 2010, name was changed to M/s Image Industries Pvt Ltd. One of the shareholders of the applicant company (i.e. M/s Warana Industries Ltd" having 48.73% shareholding in the applicant company) has been approved a project under the Scheme for Agro Processing Cluster of the Ministry on 06.04.2018 in the name of M/s Warana Industries Ltd.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net worth.

3. Fresh N Natural Dairy Farms Private Limited, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria:

- i. The term loan sanction letter uploaded by the applicant is dated 12.02.2018, which is prior to the date of issue of EoI.
- ii. The applicant has not proposed any distinct TCW.
- iii. The main promoter of the applicant company i.e. M/s Swaraj Industries Ltd (99.96% shareholding) has availed grant under Cold chain scheme in 2013. As per the details available with the Ministry, the last instalment for the earlier approved project was released on 08.09.2017 and project completion date was 09.08.2016.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of not meeting the basic eligibility criteria as term loan sanction letter is prior to EOI and previously assisted project date of completion is 09.08.2016, which is less than 2 years prior to date of issue of EoI

4. SD Milk Pro Private Limited, Osmanabad, Maharashtra

No representative from the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria:

- i. The net worth of the company based on the uploaded CA certificate and supporting documents is Rs. 142.2 Lakh, which is less than 1.5 times of grant sought (i.e. 1.5 times of Rs. 305 lakh).
- ii. The applicant has not submitted detailed appraisal note from the lending bank for the proposed project.
- iii. The uploaded final sanction letter from Andhra Bank is dated 08.03.2017 which is before date of issue of EoI (10.03.2018). Hence, the submitted sanction letter does not fulfil the eligibility criteria as per the guidelines.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net worth, non-submission of bank appraisal note and final term loan sanction letter is prior to date of EOI.



5. Himedia Laboratories Private Limited, Thane, Maharashtra

Shri. Rahul G. Warke, promoter of the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018 and TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria:

- i. The applicant has not submitted the detailed bank appraisal note.
- ii. The In-principle sanction letter dated 28.05.2018 mentions that the detailed appraisal of the project is under process by the Bank.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of non-submission of bank appraisal note.

6. KGS Agrotech Private Limited, Jaipur, Rajasthan

Shri. Arman Kohli, promoter of the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018 & 13.08.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines:

- i. The applicant has not uploaded the bank appraisal note for the proposed project.
- ii. The uploaded term loan sanction letter does not mention in-principle /final sanction. Only terms & conditions of the loan sanction issued by M/s. IndusInd Bank has been uploaded.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of non-submission of bank appraisal note.

7. Vista Processed Foods Private Limited, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh

Shri. Ronit Sharma, Manager of the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 & 13.08.2018 and TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not proposing any distinct Technical Civil work for the expansion project.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of not proposing any distinct Technical Civil work.



8. ABN Indian Biotech Pvt Ltd, Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh

Shri. Sandeep Sharma, Consultant for the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07. 2018 and TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of 54.5 marks, which is less than the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal with a revised score of 54.5 out of 94 marks (57.97 out of 100 marks), which is less than the minimum eligible score of 56.4 marks (60 marks) as per scheme guidelines.


9. Karan Frozen and Cold Storage, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh

Shri. A. P. Sinha, Consultant for the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 and TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not meeting the following basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines:

- i. As per the uploaded supporting documents in respect of CA certificate/s, the combined net worth comes to Rs. 997.02 Lakh which is less than 1.5 times the grant sought (i.e. Rs. 1387.5 lakh). Net worth certificate for one of the partners (i.e. Mr. Pradeep Singh for an amount of Rs. 176.11 lakh) has not been uploaded by the applicant.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net worth.



Annexure-III (Part-II)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 27.08.2018

Sr No	Name of the Project	State	District	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
1.	White Wave Milk Products	Himachal Pradesh	Mandi	Dairy	1681.00	1000.00	Insufficient net worth and ongoing related party project
2.	Vishwas Milk Products Private Limited	Punjab	Gurdaspur	Dairy	471.06	189.00	Promoter's Cross holding in another approved project under the scheme for Creation of Backward & Forward Linkages.
3.	Madurai District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Tamilnadu	Madurai	Dairy	2179.00	833.65	No distinct technical civil work proposed for the processing activity
4.	Zarims Drynastics LLP	Gujarat	Ahmadabad	Irradiation	3311.07	1000.00	Insufficient net worth
5.	MSV Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.	West Bengal	Medinipur	Irradiation	1601.75	580.81	Non Submission of Term Loan Sanction Letter
6.	Shivani Convenience Foods Pvt Ltd.,	Himachal Pradesh	Baddi	RTE	7310.00	1000.00	Incomplete cold chain and insufficient net worth
7.	Ganapathi Foods	Odisha	Ganjam	Mixed	2580.38	1000.00	Promoter's Cross holding in ongoing project

1. White Wave Milk Products, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018, 31st July 2018 and 13th Aug 2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of the following:

- i. Applicant has not submitted the net worth supporting documents. Further, hard copies of documents could not be accepted at this stage. Hence, in view of the deficiencies in net worth supporting documents the applicant did not satisfy the criteria for net worth.
- ii. Husband of Mrs. Sunita Rani (one of the partner) was proprietor of Kathpal Dairy (Cold Chain Project) being assisted by MoFPI. It was further noted that unit was yet to be completed/ commissioned.

Sh. Chandrashekhar represented the applicant. It was informed to the IMAC that they were not able to upload some pages on the portal due to technical error. The document uploaded on MIS has only 320 pages out of 376. IMAC apprised the applicant that no additional documents could be accepted at this stage.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal.

2. Vishwas Milk Products Private Limited, Gurdaspur, Punjab

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24th July 2018 and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 75.5 and grant of Rs 168.55lakh.

During the IMAC meeting, applicant was asked to clarify the promoter's holding in Sus Agro Foods. Mrs. Upasana Arora stated that she was Director in Sus Agro Foods and not a promoter. Applicant was apprised that as per Companies Act, 2013 "promoter" means a person—

- (a) who has been named as such in a prospectus or is identified by the company in the annual return referred to in section 92; or
- (b) who has control over the affairs of the company, directly or indirectly whether as a shareholder, director or otherwise; or
- (c) in accordance with whose advice, directions or instructions the Board of Directors of the company is accustomed to act:

It was further observed that Mr. Sanjeev Arora (husband of Mrs. Upasana Arora) was shareholder in both the companies. After detailed deliberation, applicant informed the IMAC that it would like to withdraw its application from Cold Chain Scheme.

IMAC approved the applicant's request for withdrawal of its application from Cold Chain Scheme.

3. Madurai District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited, Madurai, Tamil Nadu

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27th July 2018 and 13th Aug 2018 and TC recommended that the proposal be placed before IMAC with a score of 74 and grant of Rs 76.99 lakh.

IMAC further noted that applicant had proposed new building for QC Lab and BMCs while milk processing activity was envisaged in existing civil infrastructure.

After detailed deliberation, IMAC opined that separate technical civil work and plant and machinery distinct from existing facility was basic eligibility condition under the scheme, however, the applicant has not proposed any new technical civil work for processing activity.

In view of the above, IMAC rejected the proposal.

4. Zarims Dynamics LLP, Ahmedabad, Gujarat

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20th July 2018 and 31st July 2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Insufficient net worth as the valuation certificates for residential flats in support of net worth were not submitted by the applicant with the proposal.
- ii. Many of the property ownership documents were in vernacular language and were also not legible.

Shri Imran Chhavniwala, one of the partners attended the IMAC meeting. IMAC apprised the applicant that submission of valuation certificate was mandatory as per the scheme guidelines and no additional documents could be accepted at this stage.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

5. MSV Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Medinipur, West Bengal

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on **20th July 2018**. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reason:

- i. Applicant had not submitted term loan sanction letter which was mandatory document.

In view of above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

6. Shivani Convenience Foods Pvt Ltd, Baddi, Himachal Pradesh

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 30th July 2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Stand- alone processing unit and cold storage without reefer vehicles
- ii. Deficiencies in the net worth supporting documents

IMAC observed that proposal is not completing cold chain and applicant has insufficient net worth.

In view of above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

7. Ganapathi Foods, Ganjam, Odisha

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27th July 2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reason:

- i. The proposal would be guided by the cross holding clause in the scheme guidelines and may not be considered.
"Applicants/ Promoters who have availed assistance under any other scheme of the Ministry and apply under this scheme, then in such cases the previous project (s) should have achieved completion and commenced commercial operation/ production and successfully completed at least one year before the date of advertisement of EoI of this Scheme"
- ii. One of the partners Ch Shri Ranganadha Raju with a share of 15% in profit/loss of the firm was also a promoter in Godavari Mega Aqua Food Park which was under implementation.

In view of the above IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal



Annexure-III (Part-III)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 27.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	Sector	District	State	Score		Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant Sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
					Out of 94	Out of 100			
1.	Ganraj Dairy and Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd.	Dairy	Warodkazi	Maharashtra	-	-	2578.01	1000.00	Insufficient net-worth. The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. (-) 0.92 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh
2.	Shriram Milk & Milk Products	Dairy	Pune	Maharashtra	54.0	57.45	2268.00	864.37	57.45 marks which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per the scheme guidelines
3.	Punfresh Milkfood	Dairy	Dirba	Punjab	41.0	43.62	2450.00	950.00	Non-submission of Bank Appraisal Note & 44 marks, which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per the scheme guidelines.
4.	Integrated Export Facility with Packhouse & Irradiation Unit	Irradiation	Patna	Bihar	-	-	5083.43	-	Due to non-submission of demand drafts, DPR, bank appraisal note, in-principal term loan sanction letter, letter from BRAC/ BRIT stating that the technology is approved for intended process and Technical Agreement with BARC/ BRIT for installation & operationalization of plant
5.	Arihant Storage and Agri IMPEX LLP	Mixed	Nagpur	Maharashtra	59.0	62.77	734.48	515.00	Insufficient net-worth and no value addition. The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 230.06 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs. 772.00 lakh.

[Handwritten signature]

1. Ganraj Dairy and Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd., Aurangabad, Maharashtra

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018. Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net-worth [The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. (-) 0.92 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh (grant sought by the applicant is Rs. 1000.00 lakh)].

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net-worth.

2. Shriram Milk and Milk Products, Pune, Maharashtra

Shri R.C. Doshi, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018 & 13.08.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of 55 marks, which is less than the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

- a. Scoring Criterion No. 1(iii): Product Mix-** IMAC deducted 1 mark under the Criterion No. 1(iii): Product Mix as applicant has proposed processing of milk only. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 1 to 0 marks in criterion no. 1(iii) of Scoring.

IMAC rejected the proposal with a revised score of 54 out of 94 marks (57.45 out of 100 marks), which is less than the minimum eligible score of 56.4 marks (60 marks) as per scheme guidelines.

3. Punfresh Milkfood, Dirba, Punjab

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 24.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of non-submission of Bank Appraisal Note and a score of 41, which is less than the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.



IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of non-submission of Bank Appraisal Note & a score of 41 out of 94 marks (43.62 marks out of 100 marks), which is less than the minimum required marks of 56.4 marks (60 marks) as per scheme guidelines.

4. Integrated Export Facility with Packhouse and Irradiation Unit, Patna, Bihar

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible due to non-submission of demand drafts, DPR, Bank Appraisal note, in-principal term loan sanction letter, letter from BRAC/BRIT stating that the technology is approved for intended process and Technical Agreement with BARC/BRIT for installation & operationalization of plant.

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal.

5. Arihant Storage and Agri IMPEX LLP, Nagpur, Maharashtra

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 27.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of less score (59 marks), insufficient net-worth [The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 230.06 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.772.00 lakh (grant sought by the applicant is Rs. 515.00 lakh)] and no value addition [applicant has proposed processing of chickpeas, dry fruits, tamarind, jaggery etc., which does not fall under the scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure].

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

a. Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-

IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 marks are awarded under this scoring criterion considering that the applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage and the score is revised from 5 to 10 marks in criterion no.4.

IMAC rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net-worth and no value addition.



Score Sheet of Karimnagar Milk Producer Company Limited:

S. No	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Remarks
1	Adequate Volume and Wider Mix of Raw Materials/Days of Operation in a Year (Suitability of Location, Project Site and Status of Possession of Land)	20		
	i. Raw Material availability: a. Raw material availability backed by latest data published by government and authenticated by Competent Govt. Authority : 5 marks b. Raw material availability data duly authenticated by concerned block/district/state authority: 2 marks c. If no raw material data has been submitted by the applicant: 0 mark	5	0	The Bank appraisal mentions district wise raw material availability data but the year and source of data is not provided. Further, in the DPR district wise data is provided from Directorate of Veterinary & Animal Husbandry, Telangana along with the source. However, the publication date/year along with authentication from the govt. authority is not mentioned. Further, no data could be found from the source provided in the DPR.
	ii. No. of days of Operation: a. More than 200 days: 2 Marks b. Less than 200 days: 1 Mark	2	2	As per the DPR, the applicant has proposed 300 days of operations.
	iii. Product Mix	1	0	
	iv. Suitability of project location(s) for proposed operations and their connectivity through road, railways etc. If land is in close proximity to state/national highway/freight corridors/golden quadrilateral marks may be awarded, as follows: a. 0-5 Kms: 2 marks b. 5-10 Kms : 1 mark	2	2	The proposed location is at a distance of 2.2 km from the nearest highway i.e. SH 1.

	v	<p>Status of project land</p> <p>a) Land in possession of the Applicant with approval for industrial use: 10 marks</p> <p>b) Land in possession of the Applicant without approval for industrial use: 5 marks</p>	10	10	The applicant has uploaded the registered lease deed of the proposed location along with the addendum which mentions the purpose as setting up of milk processing unit at the proposed location. Further, the applicant has also uploaded the English translation of the CLU.
2		<p>Experience in Cold Chain/ Food Processing :</p> <p>(i) Already in cold chain <u>and</u> food processing business having annual turnover of Rs. 5 crore and above during previous year : 10 marks</p> <p>(ii) If in cold chain <u>or</u> food processing business having annual turnover of Rs. 5 crore and above during previous year : 7 marks</p> <p>(iii) If in cold chain <u>or</u> food processing business having annual turnover of less than Rs. 5 crore during previous year : 4 marks</p>	10	10	As per the Bank appraisal note and DPR, the applicant company is already in Dairy products processing business with their existing facility at Dist. Karimnagar, Telangana. As per audited balance sheet for the FY 2016-17, the turnover of the company is Rs. 24946.08 lakhs from operations which is more than required 5 Crores.
3		<p>Economic Viability of the proposal</p> <p>Economic Viability of Project Based on Bank Appraisal (Key financial parameters viz. IRR, DSCR, ROI)</p> <p>(The average of score for IRR and DSCR would be considered. IRR and DSCR will be taken from bank appraisal note. In case, IRR and/ or DSCR are not given in the bank appraisal note then the same will be taken from the DPR.)</p> <p><u>Max marks shall be given for IRR = 3</u></p> <p>a) 3 marks shall be given if IRR >20%</p> <p>b) 2 marks shall be given if IRR is between 15%-20%</p> <p>c) 1 marks shall be given if IRR is</p>	10		
			3	3	As per the Bank appraisal note, IRR of the project is 29.61% which is more than 20%.

	between 10%-15%			
	d) Nil marks shall be given if IRR is less than 10%			
	Max marks shall be given for DSCR = 3 a. 3 marks shall be given if DSCR >2.5 b. 2 marks shall be given if DSCR is between 2.0 and 2.5 c. 1 marks shall be given if DSCR is between 1.5 and 2.0 d. Nil marks shall be given if DSCR <1.5)	3	3	As per the Bank appraisal note, DSCR of the project is 3.12 whereas the average value of the DSCR predicted for 7 years, comes to 2.66 which is more than 2.5.
	Max marks shall be given for RoI (Rate of Interest) = 4 marks a. 4 marks shall be given if RoI <10% b. 2 marks shall be given if RoI is between 10% and 12% c. Nil marks shall be given if RoI is more than 12%	4	2	As per the uploaded bank appraisal report, the Rate of Interest on term loan is 10.10%.
4	Backward Forward Linkages	10		
	a. 0.5 mark for each MoU/ Agreement for Backward Linkages with FPOs/ FPCs/ SHGs/ Producer Groups – max. 5 marks b. 0.5 mark MoU/Agreement for Forward Linkages – max. 5 marks MOU/Agreement should be duly authenticated by concerned District Authority pertaining to respective sectors		5	Backward linkage: The applicant has uploaded several agreements signed between the applicant and individual farmers in support of backward linkage. The agreements are authenticated by MPI President. Score of 0 has been awarded against this criteria. Forward linkage: The applicant has uploaded the agreements with 13 individuals working as Commission & Forwarding Agent in support of forward linkage to the project.
5	Proposed investment in Cold Chain Components	15		
	i. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure, distribution hub	15		

Handwritten signature

		and processing at different locations along with reefer/ insulated vans			
	ii.	Proposals creating farm level infrastructure and distribution hub but have combined the processing facility either at farm level infrastructure or distribution hub along with reefer/ insulated vans.	10	10	The applicant has proposed to set up processing center cum DH at Nallagonda Village dist. Karimnagar and 15 FLI's at 15 different locations with assistance of Reefer vehicles. Accordingly, score of 10 is awarded in this criteria.
	iii.	Proposals creating farm level infrastructure with or without processing along with reefer/ insulated vans.	5		
	iv.	Proposals for setting up irradiation unit.	10		
6		Project proposing value addition/ processing facilities such as IQF, vacuum freeze drying, spiral/tunnel/ blast freezers, etc. for manufacturing of chilled/frozen value added products including value added fruits & vegetables/ meat/ fisheries/ RTE/ frozen products, etc.	5	5	The applicant has proposed to set up automated dairy products processing plant which is itself is value addition for milk.
7		Proposals with investment in reefer transport with capacity not less than 70 MT	5	5	The applicant has proposed 7 reefer vehicles of 10 MT capacity each as per DPR and bank appraisal note.
8		Proposed Investment in Farm Level Infrastructure [Excluding Cost of Project Land a. Up to 30% of Project Cost: 5 marks b. More than 30% to 50%: 7 marks c. More than 50% : 10 marks	10	10	The applicant has proposed 15 different FLI's at 15 different locations for BMCs. Further, the DH cum processing location of the project is also an FLI as it lies within the catchment area and village limits of Nallagonda. Therefore, total proposed investment of the project is at the farm level.

9	Project proposed to be set up in less covered states	6	0	As per the adopted methodology, Telangana is a less covered state.
10	Leveraging of Investment in the Project	5	3	The proposed Private Investment (Equity) excluding land is Rs. 1197.00 lakh and grant sought is Rs. 1000 lakhs. Accordingly, the proposed investment is less than the two times the grant sought.
	For General Areas			
	i. Proposed Equity investment excluding land < 2 times of grant sought: 3 marks			
	ii. Proposed Equity investment excluding land >= 2 times of grant sought: 5 marks			
	For Difficult Areas			
	i. Proposed Equity Investment excluding land < grant sought: 3 marks			
	ii. Proposed Equity Investment excluding land >= grant sought: 5 marks			
11	Adoption of modern technology for reducing carbon foot print, energy efficiency, fast-tracking & optimizing operations, such as PLCs, dock levelers, dock shelters, modern racking system, high reach trucks, multi-modal containers, robotics, etc.	2	2	The applicant has proposed to set up PLC operated machines, automated packing lines, automated can washing facilities in the proposed project.
12	Projects proposing to use renewable/ alternate energy to power cold chain project more than 100 KW	2	2	The applicant has proposed 50 kW solar units at all 15 BMC's (FLI) in the proposed project as supported by DPR.
	Total	100	74	

Signature

Annexure - B

Grant Calculation Sheet of Karimnagar Milk Producer Company Limited:

Sr. No.	Description	As per DPR			As per Ministry cost norms		Approved Cost (in Rs. Lakhs)	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remarks
		Area sq Meters	Rate per sq Meters (in Rs.)	Amt	Rate per sq Meters	Amt (in Lacs)				
		Distribution Hub : Dairy Processing Unit								
1	Main Building - PEB	4850.00	3500.00	169.75	15000.00	727.50	460.75	50%	230.38	As per MoFPI cost Norms
2	Main Building - Civil Work	4850.00	6000.00	291.00	15000.00	93.75	46.88	50%	23.44	As per MoFPI cost Norms
3	Boiler Room - PEB	625.00	3000.00	18.75	15000.00	177.75	88.88	50%	44.44	As per MoFPI cost Norms
4	Boiler Room - Civil Work	625.00	4500.00	28.13	15000.00					
5	Transformer/DO set/Refrigeration/Engg store - PEB	1185.00	3000.00	35.55	15000.00					
6	Transformer/DO set/Refrigeration/Engg store - Civil Work	1185.00	4500.00	53.33	15000.00					
7	Internal Fire Fighting	LS	20,00,000.00	20.00						Ineligible
8	Internal	10% of Total Civil		37.25						Ineligible

Electrification	Cost												
9	Internal Plumbing	8% of Total Civil Cost	29.80										Ineligible
	TOTAL		683.55						999.00	596.50		298.25	
Farm Level Infrastructure - Bulk Milk Cooler (Cost of 1 BMC)													
	Building (RCC Foundation & Pre-engineered Building) for BMC	200.00	6000.00	12.00	15000.00	30.00	12.00	35%	4.20			As per MoFPI cost norms	
	Electric and Other fixtures	10% of Total Civil Cost	1.20									FPI	
	Internal Plumbing	10% of Total Civil Cost	0.96									Ineligible	
	TOTAL		14.16			30.00			4.20				
	TOTAL of 15 BMC's		212.40			450.00			63.00				
	GRAND TOTAL (CIVIL)		895.95			1449.00	608.50		361.25				

Plant and machinery													
Distribution Hub : Dairy Processing Unit													
Sr. No.	Component	Particulars	Capacity	Unit	Qty.	Amount (in Rs. lakh)	As per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant (in Rs. Lakh)	Remarks		
1	Plant & Machinery	Milk Reception Section			1	64.25		64.25	50%	32.13	As per MoFPI Cost norms		
2		Milk			1	281.10		281.10	50%	140.55	As per MoFPI		

	Processing Section																			Cost norms
3	Cream Processing, Butter & Ghee Section								1	157.43										Ineligible components
4	Powder reconstitution & milk poly-pack section								1	303.55										Ineligible components
5	Five effects falling Film Evaporating Plant								1	220.00										Ineligible components
6	Three Stage spray Drying Plant								1	260.00										Ineligible components
7	High Pressure Pump								1	35.50										Ineligible components
8	Powder Bulk Packaging & Conveying System								1	124.45										Ineligible components
9	Three Circuit CIP System								1	58.35					58.35	50%		29.18		As per MoFPI Cost Norms
10	Utility section								1	639.50					639.50	50%		319.75		As per MoFPI Cost Norms
11	Installation, erection &								1	45.00										Ineligible components

Handwritten signature

10	Solar power	50	kW	1	30.00	35.00	30.00	35%	10.50	As per MIDH cost norms
			TOTAL		50.34		50.04		20.52	
	TOTAL for 15 BMC's				755.10		750.60		307.80	
11	Refrigerated Tankers (TATA 1109)	10	MT	7	175.00	140.00	140.00	35%	49.00	
			Total P&M		3132.23		1946.80		884.90	
	Total P&M and TCW				4028.18	Total eligible project cost	2555.30	Eligible grant	1246.15	Grant sought: 1000.00
					Permissible Grant				Rs. 1000.00 lakh	

Handwritten signature

Annexure-C	
M/s Trimurti Dairy Farm at Wanewadi Tal.Baramati Dist Pune.	
Plant & Machinery	
(in Rs lakh)	

Sr. No.	Description	Total Capacity	Quantity	As per CE Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
1	Milk Processing Plant	0.25 LLLPD	1 Set	131.81	223.36	131.81	35%	46.134	Pro-rata has been done for 0.25 LLLPD
2	Fat Machine Eco Milk		1 Set	6.00					
3	Mash Meal Machine		1 Set	10.06					
4	ETP		1 Set	10.01					
5	Utilities		1 Set	14.87					
6	Electrical Section and DG Set		1 Set	15.11					
7	Electrical Installation			3.75					
8	Bottle Pack Machine		1 Set	24.35	24.35	24.35	35%	8.52	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mech. Certificate

Sr. No.	Description	Total Capacity	Quantity	As per CE Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
9	Solar Plant		1 Set	25.73	35.00	25.73	35%	9.01	As per MIDH norms
10	BMC	5 KL x 1 Nos. 1 KL x 2 Nos. 2 KL x 2 Nos.	1 Set	59.22	43.68	43.68	35%	15.29	Cost norms for 5 KL, 1 KL & 2 KL for BMC's has been applied.
11	Deep Freeze		1 Set	2.88	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Capacity not mentioned in the DPR. Hence, the cost of the same has been considered zero
12	Insulated Van	15000	7	41.82	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Exact capacity of the same not mentioned in the DPR. Hence, the cost of the same has been considered zero
	Total Plant & Machinery (A)			345.61		225.57	1.40	78.95	

gr

M/s. Trimurti Dairy Farm at Gat No.682, At. Wanewadi, Tal. Baramati, Dist. Pune									
Technical Civil Work									
Sr. No.	Description	Area (Sq. Meter)	Rate (Rs./Sq. Meter)	As Per CE Civil	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
B	Technical Civil Work								
1	Cold Room and Processing Plant	481.21 (4500.00 Sqft)	11574.98	55.70	72.182	55.70	35%	19.50	As per MoFPI norms
2	Laboratory	58.08 (625.00 Sqft)	8178.37	4.75	8.71	4.75	35%	1.66	As per MoFPI norms
3	Cloth changing (420.00 Sqft.) Store Room (300.00 Sqft)	66.91 (720.00 Sqft)	5230.90	3.50	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
4	Water Tank (1920.00 Sq ft) Can washing center (400.00 Sq ft)	216.61 (2320.00Sqft)	1218.78	2.64	32.49	2.64	35%	0.92	As per MoFPI norms
5	Glass bottle washirg center (400.00 Sqft)	94.79 (1020.00 Sqft)	7089.35	6.72	14.22	6.72	35%	2.35	As per MoFPI norms
6	New bottle godown, Can drying area, toilet block, vehicle washing machine	102.23 (1100.00 Sqft)	2836.74	2.90	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
7	Waste water tank and ETP	26.85 (289.00 Sqft)	4357.54	1.17	4.03	1.17	35%	0.41	As per MoFPI norms
8	Barbed wire fencing compound			1.50	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
	Sub Total Technical Civil Work (B)			78.88		70.98		24.84	
	Grand Total (A+B)			424.49		296.55		103.79	

[Redacted content]