

**MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE INTER-MINISTRIAL APPROVAL COMMITTEE (IMAC) CONSTITUTED UNDER THE SCHEME FOR INTEGRATED COLD CHAIN AND VALUE ADDITION INFRASTRUCTURE HELD ON 18.08.2018**

A Meeting of the Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) constituted under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure was held under the chairpersonship of Hon'ble Minister, FPI on 18.08.2018 to consider the proposals received against the EOI dated 10.03.2018 for grant of financial assistance. The list of participants is at **Annexure-I**.

2. At the outset, Shri Parag Gupta, Joint Secretary & Convener of IMAC welcomed the Hon'ble Minister and other members of the Committee and apprised that the Ministry had issued an EOI on 10.03.2018 inviting applications from prospective investors for setting up of integrated cold chain facilities with the financial assistance of the Govt. in accordance with the revised operational guidelines of the Scheme for Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure issued on 08.03.2018. Last date for submission of proposals was 31.05.2018.

3. IMAC was informed by the Joint Secretary and Convener that tentatively 54 slots are available for sanction of the appraised and short-listed proposals. In all 150 Cold Chain proposals were received in response to the EOI dated 10.03.2018. Of this, 71 proposals were from the Fruits & Vegetables Sector including RTE/ RTC & Spices, 37 from Dairy sector, 8 from Irradiation sector and remaining 34 pertained to Marine/ Meat/Poultry sector.

4. All the 150 Cold Chain proposals were scrutinized by the Programme Management Agencies (PMAs) viz., M/s IL&FS Clusters, M/s Grant Thornton India LLP & M/s PricewaterhouseCoopers for ascertaining the eligibility or otherwise of the proposals, based on provisions of the scheme guidelines. Thereafter, all 150 proposals have been evaluated by the Technical Committee (TC) under the chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (PG). In all 6 TC Meetings were held on 05.07.2018, 17.07.2018, 20.07.2018, 24.07.2018, 27.07.2018 and 30.07.2018. In order to have openness and transparency in the appraisal/evaluation of the proposals, the applicants were also invited to the TC Meeting so that outcome of TC deliberations in respect of their proposals may be shared with them. Two TC meetings were also subsequently held on 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018 on the representations received from the applicant. The submissions/clarifications, if any, made by the applicants based on the interaction, have been factored into the recommendations of the TC.

5. A presentation was made before IMAC to explain the criteria & methodology adopted by the TC for ascertaining the eligibility or otherwise of the proposals and subsequent evaluation/ scoring of the proposals found prima facie eligible. The methodology adopted by the TC was also explained in the agenda note placed before IMAC. The same is at **Annexure-II**. Further, the criteria for evaluation of the proposals

*g lu*

adopted by TC was explained point-wise to the members of the Committee and they took note of it.

6. IMAC in the meeting held on 18.08.2018 considered 31 proposals pertaining to Fruits & Vegetables sector from states of Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Haryana, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh & Uttarakhand and reviewed the recommendations made by the Technical Committee against each proposal.

7. The applicants were also invited to the IMAC meeting. The applicants or representatives of the proposals presented their case before IMAC and the eligibility criteria, marks obtained against each criteria & eligible grant were informed to them. The submissions/clarifications, if any, made by the applicants based on the interaction, have been factored into the final decision of the IMAC.

**8. Decisions of IMAC:**

(a) IMAC reviewed the compliance status of each of the 31 proposals scrutinized/ appraised by the Technical Committee. Based on the deliberations, IMAC approved 12 proposals as eligible.

(b) Details of the 12 proposals approved by the IMAC as eligible, along with their grant and marks secured are at **Annexure-III**. IMAC rejected 19 proposals as being in-eligible. Details of in-eligible proposals along with reasons of in-eligibility are at **Annexure-IV**.

(c) While reviewing the score given by the TC to the proposals, against the evaluation criteria no. 9 relating to project proposed to be set up in less covered states, IMAC decided that Ministry has already sanctioned 234 projects and now this criterion is not relevant. Accordingly, IMAC deducted 6 marks awarded to the proposals and scoring has been done out of 94 marks instead of 100 marks. IMAC further, directed that the marks obtained out of 94 shall be proportionately calculated out of 100 marks for the purpose of merit list.

(d) IMAC decided that if the applicant has not identified the location of the Farm Level Infrastructure, mandatory component as per the scheme guideline, proposal shall be rejected.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair and all present.



**List of participants of Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) meeting  
Chaired by Hon'ble Minister (FPI) held on 18.08.2018 in Room No. 120, Panchsheel  
Bhawan, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi.**

1. Hon'ble Minister, FPI - In Chair
2. Shri J.P. Meena, Secretary, FPI
3. Smt. Pushpa Subrahmanyam, OSD, FPI
4. Smt. Gargi Kaul, AS&FA, FPI
5. Dr. Mahipal Singh, Principal GFSTC, Department of Horticulture & Food Processing, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh
6. Shri Vijay Ghawate, Director of Agri (Agro Processing and Planning),  
Commissionerate of Agri, Govt. of Maharashtra, Pune
7. Shri Anupam Lal Kusumakar, Manager, NABARD
8. Shri Karan Sharma, Manager, Grant Thornton
9. Shri Mandeep Ahuja, Manager, PWC
10. Shri Sandeep Bohra, Manager, IL&FS
11. Shri Parag Gupta, JS, FPI – Convener

Officials in attendance:

1. Shri Vineet Sharma, Director, FPI
2. Shri Girindra Joshi, Under Secretary, FPI
3. Shri Sanjay Gupta, SIO, FPI
4. Shri K.K.Aravindan, MO, FPI
5. Shri. S.N. Ahmed, AD, FPI

*[Handwritten signatures]*

**Consideration of the proposals received against the EOI dated 10.03.2018 for grant of financial assistance under the scheme for Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure.**

The agenda pertains to soliciting decision of IMAC regarding eligibility/ineligibility and evaluation of eligible proposals received against the EOI dated 10.03.2018 issued under the scheme for Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure.

2. Government has approved taking up of 150 Cold Chain projects during 2016-17 for financial assistance. 50 slots are available as 103 (100 + 3 against vacancies) projects were approved by the Ministry against the Eoi dated 31.08.2016. Ministry had issued revised operational guidelines for the Scheme for Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure on 08.03.2018. Based on the revised guidelines, the Ministry had issued the EOI on 10.03.2018 inviting proposals, for taking up around 50 Integrated Cold Chain projects for financial assistance. Last date for receipt of proposals was 31.05.2018. The Ministry has received, total 150 proposals.

3. Sector wise & state wise break-up of the proposals received is as under: -

S.No.	Sector	Sector					Total
		F&V	Dairy	Meat	Mixed	Irradiation	
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2	1	15	2	0	20
2.	Assam	2	0	1	0	0	3
3.	Bihar	0	1	0	0	1	2
4.	Chhatisgarh	1	0	0	0	0	1
5.	Gujarat	5	1	0	0	1	7
6.	Haryana	1	0	0	0	1	2
7.	Himachal Pradesh	5	2	0	2	0	9
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	0	0	1	0	0	1
9.	Karnataka	4	2	2	1	0	9
10.	Kerala	0	1	4	0	0	5
11.	Madhya Pradesh	1	0	0	0	1	2
12.	Maharashtra	21	15	1	1	1	39
13.	Nagaland	0	0	1	0	0	1
14.	Odisha	0	0	0	1	0	1
15.	Punjab	2	3	0	0	0	5
16.	Rajasthan	0	0	0	0	1	1
17.	Tamil Nadu	4	5	1	0	0	10
18.	Telangana	1	3	1	0	0	5
19.	Uttar Pradesh	6	3	1	2	1	13
20.	Uttarakhand	6	0	0	1	0	7
21.	West Bengal	0	0	6	0	1	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>61</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>150</b>

4. All the 150 cold chain proposals had been scrutinised by M/s IL&FS Clusters, M/s Grant Thornton and M/s PWC as Programme Management Agencies (PMA) for determining the eligibility or otherwise, based on the eligibility criteria and subsequent

evaluation as per provisions of scheme guidelines. Thereafter, all 150 proposals have been evaluated by the Technical Committee (TC) under the chairpersonship of Joint Secretary (PG). TC Meetings were held on 05.07.2018, 17.07.2018, 20.07.2018, 24.07.2018 and 30.07.2018. In order to have openness and transparency in the appraisal/evaluation of the proposals, the applicants were also invited to the TC Meeting so that outcome of TC deliberations in respect of their proposals may be shared with them. Two TC meetings were also subsequently held on 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018 on the representations received from the applicant. The submissions/clarifications, if any, made by the applicants based on the interaction, have been factored into the recommendations of the TC.

5. The financial details (in crore) of the Scheme for Integrated Cold Chain & Value Addition Infrastructure are as follows: -

i. Total budget allocation for the FY 2018-19

Budget Head	1 <sup>st</sup> SDG 2018-19	Actual Expenditure	Liability*	Tentative Expenditure in 2018-19
Grant-in-aid (General)	330.50	59.05	693.59	318.17
Grant-in-aid (NER)	20.00	2.03	31.26	12.83
GIA General SCSP	16.00	0	-	
GIA General TSP	16.00	0	-	
Prof. Service	2.00	0.35		2.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>384.50</b>	<b>61.43</b>		<b>333.00</b>

\*Liability as on 31.07.2018 of the ongoing projects

ii. Budget allocation and expenditure from 2016-2020

- (a) Approved grant for 234 projects : ₹ 1785.99 crore  
(b) Grant released as on 31.07.2018 : ₹ 1061.14 crore  
(c) Liability of approved 234 projects : ₹ 724.85 crore  
[(a) – (b), as on 31.07.2018]  
(d) Proposed grant for 54 new projects: ₹ 432.00 crore  
[against EoI dated 10.03.2018, @ ₹ 8 crore/ project]  
(e) **Total liability** : ₹ **1156.85 crore**  
[(c) + (d)]  
(f) Expenditure in FY 2016-17 : ₹ 184.88 crore  
(g) Expenditure in FY 2017-18 : ₹ 195.78 crore  
(h) Expenditure in FY 2018-19 : ₹ 60.42 crore  
(As on 31.07.2018)  
(i) **Total expenditure from FY 2016-17** : ₹ **441.08 crore**  
[(f) + (g) + (h), till 31.07.2018]  
(j) **Total budget allocated** : ₹ **1650 crore**  
[2016-2020]

*Q* *u*

(k) Expenditure & liability : ₹ 1597.93 crore  
 [ Ongoing & 54 new projects, (e) + (i)]

(l) Balance : ₹ 52.07 crore

6. TC has determined the eligibility or otherwise of the proposals based on the criteria as laid down in the scheme guidelines. However, the following methodology and principles are adopted by the TC in ascertaining the evaluation of the proposals to cover up the uniformity: -

Sl. No.	Existing in Guideline	Adopted
(a)	<p><b>Criteria no. 4 “Backward Forward Linkages”</b>            0.5 marks for each MoU/ Agreement for Backward Linkages with FPOs/ FPCs/SHGs/Producer Groups- max. 5 marks</p>	<p>Agreement signed by 5 farmers or more has been considered as a producer group for awarding marks in case of F&amp;V sector for backward linkage.</p> <p>In case of Marine/ Poultry sector marks have been awarded for backward linkage such as  <b>(a)</b> contract farming arrangement  <b>(b)</b> Firms owned a farm  <b>(c)</b> Firm has lease agreement with ponds owners  <b>(d)</b> Agreement with aggregator  <b>(e)</b> Agreement with Co-operatives  <b>(f)</b> Agreement with Boat owners  <b>(g)</b> Agreement with individual pond owners with identified pond area, etc.</p>
(b)	<p><b>Criteria no. 9 “Project proposed to be set up in less covered state”</b></p>	<p>Based on the proportionate agri production of a particular State as compared to the total agri production of the country, the no. of proportionate projects required in a State from the already approved cold chain projects (238) has been calculated. In States, wherein actual no. is either less than the required no. (wherein the Gap is positive) or the actual no. is zero, these States have been considered as less covered States. A detailed calculation sheet and State wise gaps is Annexed.</p>

7. Out of 150 proposals, 4 proposals (1 from ST category) have been received from North Eastern States and 3 proposals from SC category from general area. Of these, 2 proposals (1 from ST category) from NE States and one proposal of SC category from general area have been found eligible. It is for kind consideration and suitable directions of the IMAC that slots may be reserved for projects in NE States and from SC and ST category, as the funds earmarked for NER, SCSP & TSP may be utilised. The details are as follows: -

Sl. No.	Name of Project	State	Sector	Area	Category
1.	M/s Tongpok Enterprises	Nagaland	Meat	NER	ST
2.	M/s Shree Mansa Industries	Assam	Meat	NER	General
3.	M/s Shewprasad Mohanlal	Assam	F&V	NER	General
4.	M/s Global Entrade	Assam	F&V	NER	General
5.	M/s Shivshankar Food Process and Cold Chain	Karnataka	F&V	General	SC
6.	M/s Hitech Food Process	Karnataka	F&V	General	SC
7.	M/s Global Frozen Food	Punjab	F&V	General	SC

8. In case of expansion projects to determine distinct TCW and Plant & Machinery, it is proposed that a pre inspection may be carried out to ascertain the existing facility before issue of approval letter.

9. In the cases where FLI location is not clearly identified, it is proposed that in the approval letter it may be mentioned that the location should be in the FARM LEVEL.

10. The recommendations of the Technical Committee pertaining to 150 proposals received against EOI dated 10.03.2018 are accordingly placed in phase manner before IMAC for consideration and decision.

## Details of less covered States

State-wise share of Agri-Produce					
Sr. No.	State	Overall %	No. of units		Gap
			Ideal	Existing	
1	Andhra Pradesh	6.08	14.47	8	6.47
2	Arunachal Pradesh	0.11	0.26	1	-0.74
3	Assam	1.59	3.78	2	1.78
4	Bihar	5.71	13.59	3	10.59
5	Chhattisgarh	2.07	4.93	2	2.93
6	Goa	0.09	0.21	0	0.21
7	Gujarat	6.54	15.57	19	-3.43
8	Haryana	4.45	10.59	9	1.59
9	Himachal Pradesh	0.72	1.71	12	-10.29
10	Jammu & Kashmir	1.02	2.43	6	-3.57
11	Jharkhand	1.53	3.64	0	3.64
12	Karnataka	5.38	12.80	9	3.80
13	Kerala	2.18	5.19	4	1.19
14	Madhya Pradesh	7.54	17.95	8	9.95
15	Maharashtra	6.80	16.18	54	-37.82
16	Manipur	0.18	0.43	2	-1.57
17	Meghalaya	0.17	0.40	0	0.40
18	Mizoram	0.09	0.21	2	-1.79
19	Nagaland	0.20	0.48	2	-1.52
20	Odisha	2.96	7.04	2	5.04
21	Punjab	6.26	14.90	16	-1.10
22	Rajasthan	6.50	15.47	10	5.47
23	Sikkim	0.05	0.12	0	0.12
24	Tamil Nadu	5.59	13.30	8	5.30
25	Telangana	3.05	7.26	7	0.26
26	Tripura	0.32	0.76	0	0.76
27	Uttar Pradesh	14.58	34.70	23	11.70
28	Uttarakhand	0.79	1.88	20	-18.12
29	West Bengal	7.18	17.09	8	9.09
30	A & N Islands	0.04	0.10	1	-0.90
31	Chandigarh	0.01	0.02	0	0.02
32	D & N Haveli	0.01	0.02	0	0.02
33	Delhi	0.17	0.40	0	0.40
34	Daman & Diu	0.01	0.02	0	0.02
35	Lakshadweep	0.01	0.02	0	0.02
36	Pondicherry	0.04	0.10	0	0.10
		<b>100</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>238</b>	

Annexure-III (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 18.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	PLR Foods Private Limited	Chittoor	Andhra Pradesh	F&V	2171.00	1718.13	920.00	825.01	825.01	69.5	0	0	69.5	73.94
2	Global Entrade	Kamrup	Assam	F&V	2019.00	1032.12	1000.00	516.06	516.06	65.0	0	0	65.0	69.15
3	Yashganga Cold Storage and Food Processing	Pune	Maharashtra	F&V	2100.43	1888.64	900.00	868.02	868.02	68.0	0	0	68.0	72.34
4	Polisetty Somasundaram Agro Exports	Nashik	Maharashtra	F&V	3035.84	2232.70	1000.00	1085.30	1000.00	77.0	0	0	77.0	81.91
5	A.S. Frozen Foods	SBS Nagar	Punjab	F&V	1731.3	1422.65	650.00	664.57	650.00	74.0	0	0	74.0	78.72

*Handwritten signature/initials*

**1. PLR Foods Private Limited, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh**

Shri Madan Mohan K. CEO of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018. TC has recommended that the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 75.5, total project cost of Rs. 2171.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 825.01 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

**a. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered state and accordingly, the score against this criterion was revised from 6 to 0.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 69.5 out of 94 marks (73.94 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2171.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 825.01 lakh.

**2. Global Entrade, Kamrup, Assam**

Shri Ravi Golgulia, Managing Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 66, total project cost of 2019.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 516.06 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

**a. Scoring Criterion No. 1. Raw Material Availability:**

IMAC awarded 5 marks under the criterion no. 1: Raw Material Availability, considering that applicant has submitted the data from State Horticulture Department of Assam.

**b. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC decided to remove the scoring criteria of less covered state and accordingly, the score against this criterion was revised from 6 to 0.



IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 65 out of 94 marks (69.15 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2019.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 516.06 lakh. However, promoter informed during the meeting that if the eligible grant is only Rs. 516.06 lakh then he would like to withdraw his proposal from the scheme.

### **3. Yashganga Cold Storage and Food Processing**

Shri Karan Vikas Dangat, Director of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 68, total project cost of Rs.2100.43 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs.868.02 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 68 out of 94 marks (72.34 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs.2100.43 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs.868.02 lakh. Further, the IMAC advised the applicant to submit the revised project cost including the cost of reefer vehicles and solar panels and means of finance duly authenticated by the bank and the promoter.

### **4. Polisetty Somasundaram Agro Exports, Nashik, Maharashtra**

Shri Naresh, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07. 2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 77, total project cost of Rs. 3035.84 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 1085.30 lakh [Restricted to Rs1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 77 out of 94 marks (81.91 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs.3035.84 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 1085.30 lakh [Restricted to Rs1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

### **5. A.S. Frozen Foods, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Punjab**

Shri Sandeep Sharma, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 74,

*h. ln*

total project cost of Rs. 1731.30 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs.664.57 lakh (Restricted to the grant sought amount of Rs. 650.00 lakh).

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 74 out of 94 marks (78.72 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs.1731.30 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs.664.57 lakh (Restricted to the grant sought amount of Rs. 650.00 lakh).

Two handwritten signatures in black ink are located at the bottom center of the page, below the footer line.

Annexure-III (Part-II)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 18.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	D. J. Exports Pvt. Ltd	Nashik	Maharashtra	F&V	1487.00	894.40	846.0	401.57	401.57	72	5	0	77	81.91
2	Agastyaa Infracon	Nashik	Maharashtra	Ready to Eat/ Ready to Cook	2604.00	1608.28	1000.0	751.29	751.29	67.5	0	0	67.5	71.81
3	SAM Agri Fresh Pvt. Ltd.	Nashik	Maharashtra	F&V	1889.82	1243.92	622.72	582.76	582.76	71	4	0	75	79.79
4	Kalya Exports	Nashik	Maharashtra	F&V	2415.53	1078.19	713.12	479.52	479.52	61	0	0	61	64.89

*Handwritten signature and initials*

**1. D. J. Exports Pvt. Ltd, Nashik, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 72, total project cost of Rs. 2171.00 lakh and grant of Rs 401.57 Lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-** IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 marks are awarded under the Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages, considering that applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage with individual sellers and the score is revised from 5 to 10 marks in criterion no. 4 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 77 out of 94, (81.91 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2171.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 401.57 Lakh.

**2. Agastyaa Infracon, Nashik, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018 TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 67.5, total project cost of Rs. 2604.00 lakh and grant of Rs 751.29 Lakh.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC with a score of 67.5 out of 94 (71.81 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2604.00 lakh and grant Rs 751.29 Lakh.

**3. SAM Agri Fresh Pvt. Ltd, Nashik, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018, 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 71, total project cost of Rs.1889.82 lakh and grant of Rs 582.76 Lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion and revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-** IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted



the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 4 additional marks are awarded under the Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages, considering that applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage with individual sellers and the score is revised from 5 to 9 marks in criterion no. 4 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a revised score of 75 out of 94 (79.79 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 1889.82 lakh and grant of Rs. 582.76 Lakh.

#### **4. Kalya Exports, Nashik, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018 and TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 61 and grant of Rs 479.52 Lakh.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC with a score of 61 out of 94 (64.89 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2415.53 lakh and grant of Rs. 479.52 Lakh.



Annexure-III (Part-III)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 18.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)		Grant (in Rs. lakh)		Score					
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	Approved by IMAC	Additional Backward Linkages marks awarded as per IMAC decision	Marks deducted as per IMAC decision in case where Raw Material Availability source is kisan.gov.in	Out of 94	Out of 100
1	Sahara Frozen Foods	Morena	Madhya Pradesh	F&V	2387.80	2109.00	1000.00	1010.25	1000.00	81.5	5	0	86.5	92.02
2	Trop Fruit Products Pvt. Ltd.	Ahmednagar	Maharashtra	F&V	2940.00	2262.68	1000.00	967.18	967.18	70.0	0	0	70.0	74.47
3	Spipick Foods LLP	Kanpur	Uttar Pradesh	Spices	6681.00	3390.52	1000.00	1638.84	1000.00	75.0	0	0	75.0	79.79

*Handwritten signature*

**1. Sahara Frozen Foods, Morena, Madhya Pradesh**

Shri K. K Sharma, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018. TC has recommended that the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 87.5, total project cost of Rs. 2387.80 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs. 987.75 lakh.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criterion No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.
- b. **Scoring Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages-** IMAC in its meeting held on 28.08.2018 decided to award the marks against the evaluation criteria no. 4 relating to Backward Forward Linkages, if the applicant has submitted the backward linkage agreement even with individual farmer/ producer in case of F&V and Marine/Fishery/Meat Sector. Accordingly, 5 additional marks are awarded under the Criterion No. 4: Backward Forward Linkages, considering that applicant has submitted 10 agreements with regards to Backward Linkage with individual sellers and the score is revised from 3.5 to 8.5 marks in criterion no. 4 of Scoring.

Further, IMAC revised the eligible grant from Rs. 987.75 lakh to Rs. 1010.25 lakh [Revised grant calculation sheet is at **Annexure-A**].

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with the score of 86.5 out of 94 marks (92.02 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2387.80 lakh and grant of Rs. 1010.25 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

**2. Trop Fruit Products Pvt. Ltd., Ahmednagar, Maharashtra**

Shri Srikant Vasudeo Davare, Director of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 & 13.08.2018. Technical Committee has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 70, total project cost of Rs. 2940.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 516.05 lakh.

Further, IMAC deliberated that the grant of the project may be recalculated as per the representation submitted by the applicant.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 70 out of 94 marks (74.47 out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 2940.00 lakh and revised the grant from Rs. 516.05 lakh to Rs. 967.18 lakh [Revised grant calculation sheet is at **Annexure-B**].

### 3. **Spipick Foods LLP, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-**

Shri Shubham Gupta & Shri Sudeep Goenka, representatives of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. Technical Committee has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 81, total project cost as Rs. 6681.00 lakh and eligible grant in aid as Rs.1638.84 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

IMAC reviewed the scoring criterion & revised the score as follows:

- a. **Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:** IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criterion No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 75 out of 94 marks (79.79 marks out of 100 marks), total project cost of Rs. 6681.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs.1638.84 lakh [Restricted at Rs. 1000.00 lakh as per Scheme guidelines].



Annexure-IV (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 18.08.2018

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Score		Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant Sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
					Out of 94	Out of 100			
1.	Agrana Fruit India Private Limited	Pune	Maharashtra	F&V	-	-	3375.20	1000.00	Insufficient net-worth. The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 1384.66 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh.
2.	Crescent Cold Storage Private Limited	Thane	Maharashtra	F&V	-	-	3750.00	1000.00	Non submission of Bank Appraisal Note from lending bank.
3.	Rajkumar Hukmichand Chordia	Satara	Maharashtra	F&V	-	-	994.20	314.41	Insufficient net-worth. The net-worth could not be calculated as supporting documents have not been uploaded. No Value Addition proposed.
4.	Aimjay Agro Fresh LLP	Navi Mumbai	Maharashtra	F&V	-	-	2795.00	919.11	Farm Level Infrastructure not identified.



**1. Agrana Fruit India Private Limited, Pune, Maharashtra**

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 05.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net worth [The net-worth of the applicant company ascertained as per the audited balance sheet of the company as at 31st March 2017 is only Rs. 1384.66 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh (grant sought by the applicant is Rs. 1000.00 lakh)].

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net-worth.

**2. Crescent Cold Storage Private Limited, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra**

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 05.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the following basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines:

- i. The promoter has not uploaded the Bank Appraisal note from the lending bank for the proposed project.
- ii. The details of FLI location is not provided in the DPR.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines.

**3. Rajkumar Hukmichand Chordia, Satara, Maharashtra**

No representative from the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the following basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines:

- i. Applicant is a proprietorship firm and has uploaded the CA certificate for net worth but has not uploaded any of the supporting documents to ascertain the same.
- ii. Components proposed by the applicant in the proposal (i.e. multiproduct cold store with insulated van) does not fulfill the component eligibility criteria as per the guidelines (point 4.a) i.e. Farm Level Infrastructure (as per point 5.1. a, c & m) plus either/both DH & Refrigerated Vans or Irradiation Facility.
- iii. Applicant has uploaded bank appraisal note without any project details but with project financials only.

IMAC approved the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net worth and no value addition proposed in the proposal.


#### 4. Aimjay Agro Fresh LLP, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra

Shri Jawed Balwa, Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 20.07.2018. TC has recommended the proposal of M/s Aimjay Agro Fresh LLP to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 69, total project cost of Rs. 2795.00 lakh and eligible grant of Rs. 919.11 lakh for consideration.

IMAC noted that the location of the proposed Farm Level Infrastructure has not been identified which is a mandatory requirement as per the scheme guidelines.

After detailed discussion, IMAC did not consider the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal.



Annexure-IV (Part-II)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 18.08.2018

Sr No	Name of the Project	State	District	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant Sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
1.	Global Frozen Foods	Haryana	Ambala	F&V	544.80	350.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Net worth criteria not met</li> </ul>
2.	NGK Trading Company Pvt. Ltd.	Thane	Maharashtra	F&V	1876.00	673.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FLI not identified</li> </ul>
3.	SPD Cold Storage LLP	Maharashtra	Kolhapur	F&V	2929.81	1000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Net worth supporting documents viz circle rate notification and valuation certificate for all properties not submitted</li> </ul>
4.	Himalaya Food and Cold Storage	Maharashtra	Nagpur	F&V	1460.62	372.91	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requirement of cold chain was not justified for spice processing</li> <li>Net worth supporting documents viz valuation certificate for all properties not submitted.</li> <li>Further, circle rate notification and property documents were not legible and not self-attested by the applicant</li> </ul>
5.	Sonu Monu Agro Private Limited	Maharashtra	Nagpur	F&V	4707.32	1000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requirement of cold chain not justified for chick pea</li> <li>Net worth supporting documents viz valuation certificate for all properties not submitted.</li> <li>Loan sanction letter only from lead bank submitted which was less than 20% of the project cost,</li> </ul>
6.	Shrinidhi Agro Processing and Cold Storage	Maharashtra	Sangli	F&V	2048.27	775.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Net worth supporting documents viz property documents, circle rate notification and valuation certificate for all properties not submitted.</li> </ul>
7.	JKC General Trading Company	Thane	Maharashtra	F&V	3098.00	1000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FLI not identified</li> </ul>

Sr No	Name of the Project	State	District	Sector	Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant Sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
8.	Balaji Cold Store	Punjab	Fazilka	F&V	917.00	285.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Net worth supporting documents viz property documents not submitted.</li> </ul>
9.	DJ Green Storage Solutions Pvt Ltd	Uttarakhand	U S Nagar	F&V	1900.00	1000.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cross Holding with DJ Exports Pvt Ltd</li> <li>Land document in support of net worth assessment not submitted.</li> <li>Undertaking did not mention that DJ Exports Pvt Ltd (related party) had availed subsidy from MoFPI earlier</li> </ul>

*h* *h*

**1. Global Frozen Foods, Ambala, Haryana**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018, 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Applicant did not meet basic eligibility on account of insufficient net worth i. e. net worth of applicant was less than 1.5 times grant sought.

Shri Rajindra Garg (Partner), represented the applicant during the IMAC meeting. He submitted that advance given to related party was in the form of imprest cash and hence might not be treated under the category of loans and advances to related party. However, IMAC was apprised by PMA that said amount was being reflected under the head 'loans and advances' in the balance sheet submitted by applicant. Hence, to consider the same as cash/cash equivalents may not be correct as the balance sheet submitted along with the proposal was signed by the Chartered Accountant as well as applicant.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal.

**2. NGK Trading Company Pvt Ltd, Thane, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 70.5 and grant of Rs 673 Lakh.

However, IMAC noted that the applicant has proposed the main facility at Plot R 54, TTC Industrial area, Rabale, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, District Thane, whereas the Farm Level infrastructure was yet to be identified.

Mr. Gagan Khosla and Mr. Sanjay represented the applicant during the IMAC. After discussion, IMAC opined that creation of farm level infrastructure was one of the basic eligibility conditions and the objective of the scheme was to encourage creation of cold chain and value added infrastructure at farm level. In view of the above, if any applicant has not identified the location for setting up of farm level infrastructure then such cases might not be considered for approval.

After detailed discussion, IMAC did not consider the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal.



### 3. SPD Cold Storage, Kolhapur, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018, 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Applicant has not submitted the net worth supporting documents viz circle rate notification and valuation certificate for all properties, hence, applicant did not meet the basic eligibility criteria on account of insufficient net worth i. e the net worth of applicant is less than 1.5 times grant sought

Shri Darshit Shah, one of the partners attended the IMAC meeting. He was apprised about the deficiencies in the documents submitted. The representative requested IMAC to accept the circle rate notification and valuation submitted vide mail dated 04.08.2018. However, IMAC informed the applicant that all relevant documents had to be submitted along with the proposal on-line as per the scheme guidelines and additional documents could not be accepted at this stage.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

### 4. Himalaya Food & Cold Storage, Nagpur, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018, 31.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Requirement of cold chain is not justified for spice processing
- ii. As per the scheme guidelines, valuation report had to be uploaded along with the ownership documents and circle rate notification and all documents had to be mandatorily attested by authorized signatory. Since applicant had neither submitted valuation report nor attested the documents by authorized signatory, applicant did not comply with net-worth criteria.

Mr. Nikhil Bhoyar (Promoter) during the meeting informed the IMAC that they have submitted an undertaking with DPR stating that they are self-certifying the documents submitted with the proposal, however, the applicant was advised that no such undertaking was sought by the Ministry or was required as per the scheme guidelines. As per the guidelines all the documents had to be mandatorily counter signed. Further, applicant was also informed that submission of valuation certificate along with circle rate notification and ownership documents was mandatory. IMAC also noted that the circle rate copies and other ownership documents uploaded on the portal were not clearly legible and were also in vernacular language.

IMAC also deliberated on the issue of requirement of cold chain infrastructure for spice processing and also if any similar project has been sanctioned/assisted by the Ministry in the past. After discussion, IMAC opined that till date only projects with spice processing using cryogenic technology/value added infrastructure have been approved, hence, the present case does not justify cold chain requirement.

In view of above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

#### **5. Sonu Monu Agro Pvt Ltd, Nagpur, Maharashtra**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Requirement of cold chain is not justified for chick pea processing.
- ii. As per the scheme guidelines all the documents submitted had to be mandatorily counter signed. Further, valuation report had to be uploaded along with the ownership documents and circle rate notification. However, since the documents have not been counter signed by the applicant and valuation report has also not been submitted, thus, applicant doesn't comply with net-worth criteria.
- iii. Sanction letter from Nagpur Nagarik Sahakari Bank Ltd (lead bank) for a Term Loan of Rs 500 Lakh only has been submitted which is less than 20% of project cost. Applicant has not submitted sanction letters from other consortium banks for balance Rs 2000 Lakhs

Mr. Ashok Goyal (Promoter) during the meeting informed the IMAC that they have submitted an undertaking with DPR stating that they are self-certifying the documents submitted with the proposal. However, the applicant was informed that no such undertaking was sought by the Ministry or was required as per the scheme guidelines. As per the guidelines all the documents had to be mandatorily counter signed. Further, applicant was also informed that submission of valuation certificate along with circle rate notification and ownership documents was mandatory.

On the issue of submission of sanction/in principle approval letters from member banks (other than lead bank) with the proposal the applicant admitted that the sanction/in principle approval from other member banks was not available on the date of submission.

IMAC also deliberated on the issue of requirement of cold chain infrastructure for chick pea processing/storage. Representative from Maharashtra State informed that there is no requirement of cold chain infrastructure for chick peas.

In view of above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal



## 6. Shrinidhi Agro Processing & Cold Storage, Sangli, Maharashtra

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Net worth supporting documents viz property documents, circle rate notification and valuation certificate for all properties have not been submitted, hence, applicant did not meet basic eligibility criteria towards net worth.

Shri Hardik Sarda, partner represented the applicant in the IMAC meeting. He was apprised regarding deficiencies in net worth supporting documents. Shri Hardik clarified that all documents were duly submitted by them, however, only a few pages were getting reflected on the portal which may be due to some technical error. However, IMAC apprised the applicant that all documents should have been verified by him before final submission and hence his justification was not acceptable. IMAC also noted that applicant has applied under the Scheme for Creation of Backward and Forward Linkages of MoFPI.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

## 7. JKC Trading Company, Thane, Maharashtra

The IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018. TC recommended the proposal as eligible and to be placed before IMAC with a score of 74 and grant of Rs 873.61 Lakh.

However, IMAC noted that the applicant has proposed the main facility at C-8/3, TTC Industrial Area, MIDC, Village Pawne, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, District Thane, whereas the Farm Level infrastructure is yet to be identified.

Mr. Jatin Ashar (Partner) represented the applicant during the IMAC. After discussion, IMAC opined that creation of farm level infrastructure was one of the basic eligibility conditions and the objective of the scheme was to encourage creation of cold chain and value added infrastructure at farm level. In view of the above, if any applicant has not identified the location for setting up of farm level infrastructure then such cases might not be considered for approval.

After detailed discussion, IMAC did not consider the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal.



## **8. Balaji Cold Storage, Fazilka, Punjab**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 13.08.2018 and TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Net worth supporting documents viz property documents were not submitted hence, applicant did not meet basic eligibility criteria towards net worth.

Shri Surinder Kumar Charaya, proprietor, attended the IMAC meeting. He informed that although the ownership documents had not been submitted with the proposal, however, since the property and its value were mentioned in the bank appraisal note, hence, the same might be considered. IMAC apprised the applicant that there was no precedence of consideration of net-worth on the basis of valuation report or bank appraisal if ownership documents were not submitted for immovable properties.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal

## **9. D J Green Storage Solutions Pvt Ltd, US Nagar, Uttrakhand**

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. TC has recommended that the same may be placed before IMAC as ineligible for following reasons:

- i. Cross holding of promoters between D J Exports Pvt Ltd and DJ Green Storage Solutions Pvt Ltd.
- ii. Land document for which revaluation reserves had been created were not submitted
- iii. Undertaking submitted did not mention that DJ Exports Pvt Ltd had availed subsidy from MoFPI earlier.

Mr. Hitesh Lohani, promoter, participated in the IMAC meeting. He informed that they were not aware that on account of Unisol India Pvt Ltd holding a minority stake in their company it would get classified as sister concern. However, the applicant was apprised that the balance sheet/financial statements submitted with the proposal itself mention that both DJ Exports Pvt Ltd and applicant company were related parties, hence, their justification is not accepted. IMAC noted that as per the scheme guidelines in case of Companies' inadvertently only copy of circle rate notification had been asked for, however, without ownership documents it is not possible to determine/assess the net worth.

In view of above, IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal.

**Annexure-IV (Part-III)**

**Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 18.08.2018**

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	Sector	District	State	Score		Project Cost (in Rs. lakh)	Grant Sought (in Rs. lakh)	Remarks for Rejection
					Out of 94	Out of 100			
1.	Gama Biotech Farms	F&V	Krishna	Andhra Pradesh	51.5	54.79	2385.42	1000.00	54.79 marks which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per the scheme guidelines.
2.	Shewprasad Mohanlal	F&V	Kamrup	Assam	-	-	3714.00	1000.00	Insufficient net-worth. The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 425.57 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh.
3.	Swastiks Masalas,Pickles and Food Products Pvt Ltd	F&V (Pickles)	Bangalore	Karnataka	-	-	1749.70	600.00	Manufacturing of pickle does not require cold Chain and hence is not eligible under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and value addition infrastructure.
4.	Gandharv Farms and Resorts Pvt. Ltd.	F&V	Osmanabad	Maharashtra	-	-	2387.76	1000.00	Insufficient net-worth. The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 1002.91 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh.
5.	Kisanmitra Warehousing Pvt. Ltd.	F&V	Latur	Maharashtra	-	-	2461.80	1000.00	Spouse of one partner Smt. Usha Hemant Vaidya is a shareholder in M/s Kisanmitra Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd. which has availed grant in aid from MoFPI and is presently under implementation.
6.	Omchaitanya Multi State Agro Purpose Co-operative Society Ltd.	F&V	Ahmednagar	Maharashtra	50	53.19	1107.55	315.00	53.19 marks which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per the scheme guidelines.



**1. Gama Biotech Farms, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh-**

Shri Satyanarayana Raju, partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 27.07.2018 & 31.07.2018. Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of 57.5 marks which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC reviewed the scoring criteria and revised the score as follows:

**a. Scoring Criterion No. 9. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states:**

IMAC deducted 6 marks under the criteria No. 9 i.e. Project Proposed to be set up in less covered states. Accordingly, IMAC revised the scoring from 6 to 0 marks in criterion no. 9 of Scoring.

IMAC rejected the proposal with a revised score of 51.5 out of 94 marks (54.79 out of 100 marks), which is less than the minimum eligible score of 56.4 marks (60 marks) as per scheme guidelines.

**2. Shewprasad Mohanlal, Kamrup, Assam**

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 20.07.2018. Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net-worth [The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs.425.57 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh (grant sought by the applicant is Rs. 1000.00 lakh)].

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net-worth.

**3. Swastiks Masalas, Pickles and Food Products Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, Karnataka**

Shri Anthony R. Fernandez, representative of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meetings held on 05.07.2018 and 31.07.2018. Technical Committee has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC for consideration with the score of 70 marks, total project cost of Rs.1749.70 lakh and eligible grant in aid of Rs.437.19 lakh



IMAC deliberated on the requirement of Cold Chain in the manufacturing of pickle and decided that the scheme is for perishable products. IMAC rejected the proposal of Swastiks Masalas, Pickles and Food Products Pvt. Ltd. as manufacturing of pickle does not require Cold Chain and hence is not eligible under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure.

#### **4. Gandharv Farms and Resorts Private Limited, Osmanabad, Maharashtra -**

Representative of the applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 05.07.2018. Technical Committee recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net-worth [The net-worth of the applicant company is Rs. 1002.91 lakh against the required net-worth of Rs.1500.00 lakh (grant sought by the applicant is Rs. 1000.00 lakh)].

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of insufficient net-worth.

#### **5. Kisanmitra Warehousing Pvt. Ltd., Latur, Maharashtra**

Shri Hemant Vaidya, partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018, 31.07.2018 & 13.08.2018. Technical Committee has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on following reasons:

- The ministry has approved a proposal M/s Kisanmitra Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd. in 2016, wherein, Smt. Usha Hemant Vaidya W/o Shri. Hemant Vaidya is a shareholder and owns 33%. Further Sh. Hemant Vaidya is major shareholder (63.93%) in Kisanmitra Warehousing Pvt. Ltd. Though, the two applicant are legally different entities, TC was of the view that husband and wife may be considered as related parties and the benefit of Government Grant in this case may not be considered as the project of M/s Kisanmitra Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd is still under implementation and Smt. Usha Vaidya is a shareholder.

IMAC discussed the agenda in detail and approved the recommendation of TC and reject the proposal.



**6. Omchaitanya Multi State Agro Purpose Cooperative Society Limited, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra**

Representative of the Applicant firm has not attended the IMAC meeting held on 18.08.2018.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 17.07.2018 and 31.07.2018. Technical Committee has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of 50 marks which is less than the minimum required 60 marks as per the scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of 50 marks out of 94 marks (53.19 out of 100 marks) which is less than the minimum eligible score of 56.4 marks (60 marks) as per scheme guidelines.



Annexure-A	
Sahara Frozen Foods	
Plant & Machinery	
FLI at Survey No. 1055/1, 1055/2 & 1060/2/Min-2, Village Badbari, Mauza Rithorakalan, Tehsil & Dist. Morena, Madhya Pradesh	
(in Rs. lakh)	

Sr. No.	Description	Capacity	Qty	Cost as per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
A	Farm level processing facility (Main facility)								
1	IQF pre-processing line for F & V (including pea and multi fruit processing line)	4MT/Hr		272.00	270.00	270.00	50%	135.00	As per MoFPI norms
2	IQF tunnel	4MT/Hr		260.00	650.00	650.00	50%	325.00	As per MoFPI norms
3	Refrigeration for IQF & Deep freezer	4000MT		410.00					
4	Modern racking system (stacking)	4000MT		80.00	80.00	80.00	50%	40.00	As per MIDH norms
5	High reach material handling	2 Units		35.00	34.00	34.00	50%	17.00	As per MIDH norms
6	Weighing & packing machine	1 MT		65.00	10.00	10.00	50%	5.00	As per MoFPI norms
7	Metal detector	Nil		10.00	10.00	10.00	50%	5.00	As per MoFPI norms
8	DG set	1400 KVA		95.00	-	95.00	50%	47.50	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sr. No.	Description	Capacity	Qty	Cost as per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
9	Weighing scale	60 ton		25.00	-	25.00	50%	12.50	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
10	Transformer & main project electrification, electrical panels etc.	Nil		100.00	-	100.00	50%	50.00	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
11	Boiler	Nil		60.00	-	60.00	50%	30.00	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
12	ETP	60 KLD		50.00	-	50.00	50%	25.00	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
13	Water treatment plant, water storage tank, sub system, fittings etc.	1 lot		50.00	-	50.00	50%	25.00	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
14	Captive power (solar)	120 KW		50.00	35.00	35.00	35%	12.25	As per MIDH norms
15	Reefer VAN	21MT	5 Nos.	221.01	210.00	210.00	35%	73.50	As per MIDH norms. Pro-rata has been done for 21 MT
	<b>Sub Total (A)</b>			<b>1783.01</b>		<b>1679.00</b>		<b>802.75</b>	
B	<b>Farm level collection centre:</b>								



Sr. No.	Description	Capacity	Qty	Cost as per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
	<b>District Bhind</b>								
	Pre-cooler	6 MT		25.00	25.00	25.00	35%	8.75	As per MIDH norms
	Mechanized sorting grading facility	3MT/Hr		30.00	30.00	30.00	50%	15.00	As per MoFPI norms
	Power back up	50 KVA		4.50	-	4.50	50%	2.25	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
	Weighing scale	100 kg		3.00	-	3.00	50%	1.50	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
	<b>Sub Total (B)</b>			<b>62.50</b>		<b>62.50</b>		<b>27.50</b>	
	<b>C</b>								
	<b>Distribution hub: Gwalior city</b>								
	Cold rooms (Staging)	20MT	2	20.00	20.00	20.00	35%	7.00	As per MIDH norms.
	Staging cold rooms	100 Sq. Mtr.		10.00					Pro-rata has been done for 20 MT Cold Room
	<b>Sub Total (C)</b>			<b>30.00</b>		<b>20.00</b>		<b>7.00</b>	
	<b>Total Plant &amp; Machinery (A+B+C)</b>			<b>1875.51</b>		<b>1761.50</b>		<b>837.25</b>	

*h* *W*

Technical Civil Work		(in Rs. lakh)
----------------------	--	---------------

Sr. No.	Description	Area (Sq. Meter)	Rate (Rs./Sq. Meter)	Cost as per C.E Civil	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
<b>Farm level processing facility (Main facility)</b>									
D 1	IQF pre-processing line for F & V (including pea and multi fruit processing line)	400.00	10,000	40.00	40.00	40.00	50%	20.00	As per MoFPI norms
2	IQF tunnel	800.00	10,000	80.00	80.00	80.00	50%	40.00	As per MoFPI norms
3	Deep freezer	1500.00	10,000	150.00	150.00	150.00	50%	75.00	As per MoFPI norms. Cost norms applied Rs. 10000/Sq. Mtr.
4	Weighing & packing area	25.00	10,000	2.50	2.50	2.50	50%	1.25	As per MoFPI norms
5	Metal detector	20.00	10,000	2.00	2.00	2.00	50%	1.00	As per MoFPI norms
6	DG sets	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	50%	2.50	As per MoFPI norms
7	Weighing scale	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	50%	2.50	As per MoFPI norms
8	Transformer & main project electrification, electrical panels etc.	30.00	10,000	3.00	3.00	3.00	50%	1.50	As per MoFPI norms
9	Boiler	100.00	10,000	10.00	10.00	10.00	50%	5.00	As per MoFPI norms
10	ETP	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	50%	2.50	As per MoFPI norms
11	Water treatment plant, water storage tank, sub system, fittings etc.	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	50%	2.50	As per MoFPI norms
12	Machine room	150.00	10,000	15.00	15.00	15.00	50%	7.50	As per MoFPI norms

Sr. No.	Description	Area (Sq. Meter)	Rate (Rs./Sq. Meter)	Cost as per C.E Civil	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
13	Toilet facility	100.00	10,000	10.00	10.00	10.00	50%	5.00	As per MoFPI norms
	<b>Sub Total (D)</b>			<b>332.50</b>		<b>332.50</b>		<b>166.25</b>	
E	<b>Farm level collection Centre: District Bhind</b>								
	Pre-cooler	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	35%	1.75	As per MoFPI norms
	Mechanized sorting grading facility	50.00	10,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	50%	2.50	As per MoFPI norms
	Power back up	25.00	10,000	2.50	2.50	2.50	50%	1.25	As per MoFPI norms
	Weighing scale	25.00	10,000	2.50	2.50	2.50	50%	1.25	As per MoFPI norms
	<b>Sub Total ( E)</b>			<b>15.00</b>		<b>15.00</b>		<b>6.75</b>	
	<b>Total Civil Works (D+E)</b>			<b>347.50</b>		<b>347.50</b>		<b>173.00</b>	
	<b>Grand Total (A+B+C+D+E)</b>			<b>2223.01</b>		<b>2109.00</b>		<b>1010.25</b>	
	<b>Restricted as per Scheme guidelines</b>							<b>1000.00</b>	

*h* *u*

<b>Annexure – B</b>	
<b>Trop Fruit Products Pvt. Ltd.</b>	
<b>Plant &amp; Machinery</b>	
<b>(in Rs. lakh)</b>	
<b>Farm Level Infrastructure at Plot No.- A-21, MIDC, Supa, Tal. Parner, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra</b>	

Sr. No.	Particulars	Qty.	Capacity	As per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
1	Pack house-sorting grading		2 MT/Hr.	33.90	25.00	25.00	50%	12.50	Capacity of 2MT/Hr. as mentioned in C.E Mechanical Certificate has been considered. Approved cost is determined as per MoFPI norms.
2	Pre-processing line		4 MT/Hr.	169.49	270.00	169.49	50%	84.745	Capacity of 4MT/Hr. as mentioned in C.E Mechanical Certificate has been considered. Approved cost is determined as per MoFPI norms.
3	IQF		2MT/Hr	237.28	340.00	340.00	50%	170.00	Cost norms of IQF 2MT/Hr. associated with Frozen Store of
	Frozen storage	3	500 MT each	190.67					

Sr. No.	Particulars	Qty.	Capacity	As per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
									1500 MT as determined by MoFPI has been applied.
4	Blast freezer		40MT/day	593.39	-	593.39	50%	296.70	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
	Blast freezer (TCW)		460 Sq. Mtr.	38.23					
5	Cold storage	3	1500 MT each	450.00	450.00	450.00	35%	70.00	As per MoFPI norms
	Cold Storage (TCW)		960 Sq. Mtr.	58.56					
	<b>Other electrical equipment's</b>								
6	Electricals			220.33	-	220.33	50%	130	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mechanical Certificate.
7	Material handling			21.19	17.00	17.00	50%	8.50	The cost norms have been applied for High reach handling equipment Rs. 17 lakh per unit, for max. 2 units.
8	Fire fighting			33.90	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
9	Lab equipment			25.42	30.00	30.00	50%	15.00	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E

Sr. No.	Particulars	Qty.	Capacity	As per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
10	Reefer Vehicle	8	9 MT each	161.02	208.00	161.02	35%	56.36	Mechanical Certificate.
<b>Total Plant &amp; Machinery (A)</b>				<b>2233.38</b>		<b>2006.23</b>		<b>843.80</b>	As per MIDH norms

**Trop Fruit Products Pvt. Ltd.**

**Technical Civil Work**

(in Rs. lakh)

**Farm Level Infrastructure at Plot No.- A-21, MIDC, Supa, Tal. Parner, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra**

Sr. No.	Description	Area sq Meters	Rate per sq Meters	Cost as per DPR	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
1	CA/MA/Normal cold store, deep freezer								
a	Cold Storage	960	6100	58.56	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	As per the C.E Civil Certificate, it has no clarity that this is the Frozen Cold Store or normal Cold Store. As per the MoFPI cost norms, cost of Civil work of Cold Store should be considered in P&M. Hence, the Cost considered for the same is zero



b	Utility Room	700	5300	37.10	70.00	37.10	50%	18.55	As per MoFPI norms
c	Cold Room	1290	5500	70.95	193.50	70.95	50%	35.48	The cost norms has been applied for Frozen Store as there is no clarity given in C.E Civil certificate that this is Frozen Store or Cold Store
2	Integrated pack house	660	7318	48.3	66	48.3	50%	24.15	As per MoFPI norms
3	Precoolings unit	440	7318	32.2	44	32.2	35%	11.27	As per MoFPI norms
4	Blast freezer	460	8310	38.23	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Total cost considered in P&M
5	Processing unit	365	9300	33.95	36.5	33.95	50%	16.97	As per MoFPI norms
6	IQF building	365	9300	33.95	36.5	33.95	50%	16.97	As per MoFPI norms
	Taxes etc.			63.57	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
	<b>Total Civil Works (B)</b>			<b>416.81</b>		<b>256.45</b>		<b>123.39</b>	
	<b>Eligible Grand Total (A+B)</b>			<b>2650.19</b>		<b>2262.68</b>		<b>967.18</b>	

*h m*

