

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE INTER - MINISTERIAL APPROVAL COMMITTEE (IMAC) CONSTITUTED UNDER THE SCHEME FOR INTEGRATED COLD CHAIN AND VALUE ADDITION INFRASTRUCTURE HELD ON 02.07.2019

In continuation of IMAC meeting held on 12.06.2019, 13.06.2019 & 14.06.2019, a meeting of the Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) constituted under the Scheme of Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure was held under the Chairpersonship of Hon'ble Minister, FPI on 02.07.2019 to consider the proposals received against the EOI dated 10.03.2018 for grant of financial assistance. The list of participants is at **Annexure-I**.

2. Ms. Reema Prakash, Joint Secretary & Convener of IMAC welcomed the Hon'ble Minister and other members of the Committee. JS informed the IMAC that in continuation to 5 TC Meetings held on 10.06.2019, 16.06.2019, 26.06.2019, and 21.05.2019, Two TC meetings were also subsequently held on 14.06.2019 and 01.07.2019 on the representations received from the applicant and cases referred back by IMAC to TC for reconsideration.

3. IMAC considered 32 proposals and reviewed the recommendations made by the Technical Committee against each proposal.

4. The applicants were also invited to the IMAC meeting. The applicants or representatives of the proposals presented their case before IMAC and the eligibility criteria, marks obtained against each criteria & eligible grant were informed to them. The submissions/clarifications, if any, made by the applicants based on the interaction, have been factored into the final decision of the IMAC.

5. Based on the deliberations, IMAC approved 10 proposals as eligible. Details of the eligible proposals along with grant is at **Annexure-II**. IMAC rejected 22 proposals as in-eligible as per **Annexure-III**.

6. Other decisions

(a) IMAC is of the view that the SC or ST promoter(s), as the case may be, holding 100% stake in the implementing agency/ firm, will be treated as SC/ST proposals and will be treated at par with NE States for the purpose of extending the benefits under the scheme. IMAC decided to amend the guidelines accordingly for future Eols.

(b) IMAC also took into consideration that Ministry is not receiving sufficient number of proposals from NER and SC/ST promoter(s). To encourage such promoter(s), IMAC decided that refundable security deposit of ₹ One Lakh (₹ 1,00,000/-) may be waived off for such promoters for future Eols.

7. Consolidated merit list of 26 eligible proposals from general category [slots available 27] is placed at **Annexure-IV**. List of eligible proposals from 3 SC category [slots available 3] is placed at **Annexure-V**. [It may be noted that no proposal has been found eligible from NER and ST category. Date for submission of proposals under NER and ST category has been extended upto 15.07.2019]

8. State-wise, Sector-wise list of eligible proposals is placed at **Annexure- VI**.


9. Consolidated list of 30 ineligible proposals is placed at **Annexure- VII**.

List of participants of Inter-Ministerial Approval Committee (IMAC) meeting Chaired by Hon'ble Minister (FPI) held on 02.07.2019 in Room No. 120, Panchsheel Bhawan, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi.

1. Hon'ble Minister, FPI - In Chair
2. Smt. Pushpa Subrahmanyam, Secretary, FPI
3. Shri Arun Kumar, AS&FA, FPI
4. Shri G D Sharma, Consultant, IFD, FPI
5. Shri Gurvinder Singh Ahuja, Manager, NABARD
6. Shri Karan Sharma, Manager, Grant Thornton
7. Shri Mandeep Ahuja, Manager, PWC
8. Shri Sandeep Bohra, Manager, IL&FS
9. Ms. Reema Prakash, JS, FPI – Convener

Officials in attendance:

1. Shri K.B. Subramanian, Director, FPI
2. Shri Girindra Joshi, Under Secretary, FPI
3. Shri Sanjay Gupta, SIO, FPI
4. Shri K.K.Aravindan, MO, FPI
5. Shri Adesh Mohan, SIO, FPI



Annexure-II (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 02.07.2019

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)			Score Approved by IMAC
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible	
1.	M/s Swami Chickens Pvt Ltd	Dindugal	Tamilnadu	Meat & Poultry	4856.00	2887.15	1000.00	1388.25	1000.00	95
2.	M/s Treesas Food Crafts	Dharmapuri	Tamilnadu	Dairy	4510.00	3188.18	660.00	1581.34	660.00	91.5
3.	M/s The Coimbatore District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Coimbatore	Tamilnadu	Dairy	841.56	To be Calculated	323.77	To be Calculated	To be Calculated	72

1. M/s Swami Chickens Pvt Ltd, District- Dindigul, State- Tamil Nadu

Shri Dinesh Krishanan Muthuswamy of the applicant's firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered during TC meetings held on 14.05.2019 & 14.06.2019. TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 95, total project cost of ₹4856 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹1000.00 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 95, total project cost of ₹4856 lakh, Eligible project cost of ₹2887.15 lakh and Calculated grant-in-aid of ₹1388.25 restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as the maximum limit as per scheme guidelines.

2. M/s Treesas Food Crafts, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu

Mr. Sajil Kumar K. (Technical Consultant) along with Mr. Joseph (Promoter) of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered during TC meetings held on 16.04.2019 & 14.06.2019 and TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 91.5, total project cost of ₹4510 lakh and eligible grant-in-aid of ₹660.00 lakh as sought by the promoter.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with score of 91.5, total project cost of ₹4510 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹3188.18 lakh, calculated grant-in-aid of ₹1581.34 lakh restricted to ₹ 660.00 lakh (eligible grant-in-aid) as sought by the promoter.

3. M/s The Coimbatore District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

Dr. Ishwar S. Jamatti of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfillment of the basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (i) of scheme guidelines as per which expansion/up-gradation of the existing facility in the same premises is not permitted.

IMAC was apprised by PMA that applicant has proposed to set up the new facility in its existing premises and shall also utilize the facilities/utilities such as ETP, WTP, DG, Boiler etc. already available/installed in the existing premises for the proposed project.

In response to this, Applicant apprised the IMAC that the existing plant is presently involved in manufacturing of Toned Milk, Standardized Milk, Full Cream milk, Curd, Butter Ghee, Peda



and Flavoured Milk and does not have facility to manufacture Paneer and Yoghurt. The proposed facility to manufacture Paneer and yoghurt, for which financial assistance is being sought from the Ministry, would be setup with a new building and a new plant & machinery on a vacant land available within the same premises, where the existing unit is already operational. Applicant also produced layout of the plant before the IMAC. In addition, applicant also apprised that utilities of existing plant such as ETP, WTP, DG, Boiler etc. would be used for the proposed plant to cut the administrative and capital cost and to utilize the available capacity for the proposed plant. The same have not been included in the project proposal submitted to the Ministry for financial assistance.

In view of the above, IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with score of 72, total project cost of ₹841.56 lakh and directed the PMA to calculate eligible Grant-in-aid. Approval letter may be issued accordingly.



Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 02.07.2019

Sr. no	Name of the Applicant	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)		Score approved by IMAC
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	
1	M/s Anjali Hotels Private Limited	Kanchipuram	Tamil Nadu	RTE	3236.00	To be calculated	1000.00	To be calculated	87
2	M/s. Golden Hatcheries	Tumkur	Karnataka	Meat	3322.00	1423.25	1000.00	635.23	70
3	M/s. Swathi Hatcheries	D ndigul	Tamil Nadu	Meat	4004.00	2129.90	1000.00	1034.98	90



1. M/s Anjali Hotels Private Limited, Pallavaram, Tamil Nadu

Shri Unnikrishnan, Chief Operating Officer of the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the criteria of creating infrastructure at Farm Level Infrastructure (FLI) in the catchment area, as per clause 8 (k) duly supported by clause 4 (a) – one of basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines. However, IMAC in its meeting held on 14.06.2019, referred the proposal back to TC for re-consideration for evaluation, scoring and grant calculation, after receipt of the requisite raw material availability data from the applicant.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been re-considered in TC meeting held on 01.07.2019 based on the clarifications submitted by the applicant and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with score of 87. The grant could not be calculated since the applicant did not submit the capacity breakup of the components for calculation of grant-in-aid as advised by TC on 01.07.2019.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 87 and total project cost of ₹3236.00 lakh. IMAC advised the representative to submit the revised CE civil certificate and CE mechanical certificate with capacity break up for cold storages, frozen storages and blast freezers before 05.07.2019 for calculation of grant. Further, directed to calculate the eligible grant before issue of approval letter.

2. M/s. Golden Hatcheries, Tumkur, Karnataka

Shri Manish Sachdeva (banker of the proposal) attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019, on behalf of the applicant firm.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 14.05.2019 & 14.06.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the revised score of 70, total project cost of ₹3322.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹1423.25 lakh and eligible calculated revised grant of ₹635.23 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 70, total project cost of ₹3322.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹1423.25 lakh and eligible calculated revised grant of ₹635.23 lakh.

3. M/s. Swathi Hatcheries, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu

Shri C. Saravanan, Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.



IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 14.05.2019 & 14.06.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with the score of 90, total project cost of ₹4004.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹2129.90 lakh and calculated grant of ₹1034.98 lakh (restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines).

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 90, total project cost of ₹4004.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹2129.90 lakh and eligible grant of ₹1034.98 lakh (restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines).



Annexure-II (Part-III)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as eligible on 02.07.2019

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)		Score Approved by IMAC	
					Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated		Eligible
1	M/s Highland Agro	Balasore	Odisha	Fishery	5308.36	2550.56	1000.00	1275.28	1000.00	68
2	M/s Paras Spices	Una	H.P.	Dairy	1658.00	1407.33	1000.00	1038.50	1000.00	63
3	M/s Ocean Agro Fresh	Anantnag	J & K	Fruits & Vegetables	-	838.88	1000.00	526.40	526.40	62
4	M/s Vishwas Milk Products Pvt. Ltd	Gurdaspur	Punjab	Dairy	563.06	429.52	217.00	207.82	207.82	78



1. M/s Highland Agro, Balasore, Odisha

Shri Rajib Lochan Padhy, Manager Finance of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 14.05.2019 & 14.06.2019. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 68, project cost of ₹5308.36 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹2550.56 lakh and eligible grant of ₹1275.28 lakh. [Restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 68, project cost of ₹5308.36 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹2550.56 lakh and eligible grant of ₹1275.28 lakh. [Restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

2. M/s Paras Spices, Una, Himachal Pradesh

Shri Rajkumar, Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 & 14.06.2019. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 63, project cost of ₹1658.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹1407.33 lakh and eligible grant of ₹1038.50 lakh. [Restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 63, project cost of ₹1658.00 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹1407.33 lakh and eligible grant of ₹1038.50 lakh. [Restricted to ₹1000.00 lakh as per scheme guidelines].

3. M/s Ocean Agro Fresh, Anantnag, Jammu & Kashmir

Shri. Rashid Ahmed Dar, proprietor of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 26.04.2019 & 14.06.2019. TC has recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 67, project cost of ₹2078.58 lakh, revised eligible project cost of ₹963.00 lakh and revised eligible grant of ₹588.46 lakh.

IMAC observed that the proposal is for CA store with reefer vans. The number of reefer vans are not justifiable for product mix (only Apple) proposed. IMAC approved 2 nos. of reefer vans of 15 MT capacity each. Accordingly, marks in criteria no. 7



“Proposals with investment in reefer transport capacity not less than 70 MT” has been reduced from 5 to 0 and revised the eligible grant from ₹588.46 lakh to ₹526.40 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 62, eligible project cost of ₹838.88 lakh and eligible grant of ₹526.40 lakh. [Revised score sheet & grant calculation sheet is at **Annexure-A & Annexure-B** respectively.]

IMAC, further directed to obtain the revised means of finance and viability report of the project from the lending bank before issue of Approval Letter.

4. M/s Vishwas Milk Products Pvt. Ltd., Gurdaspur, Punjab

Shri Gaurav Arora, CA of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 14.06.2019 & 01.07.2019. TC has recommended that the proposal to be placed before IMAC as eligible with a score of 78, project cost of ₹563.06 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹429.52 lakh and eligible grant of ₹207.82 lakh.

IMAC approved the proposal as eligible with a score of 78, project cost of ₹563.06 lakh, eligible project cost of ₹429.52 lakh and eligible grant of ₹207.82 lakh.



Annexure-III (Part-I)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 02.07.2019

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)	Grant Sought (in ₹ lakh)	Score Approved by IMAC	Reason of Ineligibility
1	M/s Samraj Cold Chain Infrastructure	Trichy	Tamilnadu	F&V	1000.00	500.00	53	Insufficient Network & Score (53) being less than 60.
2	M/s KMB Industry	Bijapur	Karnataka	F&V	1501.84	1000.00	79	Insufficient Network
3	M/s Kongunadu Cold Chain Infrastructure	Erode	Tamilnadu	F&V	1000.00	350.00	53	Insufficient Network & Score (53) being less than 60
4	M/s Inciagreen	Kottayam	Kerala	F&V	NA	NA	NA	Insufficient net worth and also non-submission of major documents such as DPR, Bank sanction /appraisal.
5	M/s Meenakshi Agro Tech	Trichy	Tamil Nadu	F&V	1000.00	500.00	53	Requisite Demand Drafts for EMD and processing charges have not been submitted by the applicant to the Ministry.
6	M/s Shudh Punjabi Dairy,	Ludhiana	Punjab	Dairy	231.87	99.75	NA	Non submission of term loan final sanction / In-principle letter. Non-compliance of infusion of equity being at least 20% and Insufficient net worth Not completing the cold chain requirement

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)	Grant Sought (in ₹ lakh)	Score Approved by IMAC	Reason of Ineligibility
7	M/s Green Mark Tech & Trade	Namakkal	Tamilnadu	Poultry/ Meat	2460.42	1000	32	Non-submission of bank appraisal note and insufficient net worth
8	M/s SS Dairy Milk and Products	North Goa	Goa	Dairy	258.24	70	47.5	Insufficient net-worth, proposed term loan being less than 20% of total project cost, The proposed project being up-gradation / expansion project and score (47.5) being less than 60.
9	M/s Mikpingla Industries	Ukhrul	Manipur	F&V	1671.17	790	47.5	Insufficient net worth and Score (47.5) being less than 60.



1. M/s Samraj Cold Chain Infrastructure, Trichy, Tamil Nadu

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 10.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) for minimum required net worth and score (53) being less than 60 as per clause 11.1 (b) of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) for minimum required net worth and score (53) being less than 60 as per clause 11.1 (b) of the scheme guidelines.

2. M/s KMB Industry, Bijapur, Karnataka

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 10.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient network as it does not satisfy the basic eligibility criteria for net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of insufficient network as it does not satisfy the basic eligibility criteria for net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

3. M/s Kongunadu Cold Chain Infrastructure, Erode, Tamil Nadu

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 10.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) for minimum required net worth and score (53) being less than 60 as per clause 11.1 (b) of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) for minimum required net worth and score (53) being less than 60 as per clause 11.1 (b) of the scheme guidelines.

4. M/s Inddiagreen, Kottayam, Kerala

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.



IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 26.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of non-fulfillment of basic eligibility criterion as per 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines for minimum required net worth and also non-submission of major documents such as DPR (Clause 9.1(a), Bank sanction/appraisal {Clause 8(b&d)}

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of non-fulfillment of basic eligibility criterion as per 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines for minimum required net worth and also non-submission of major documents such as DPR (Clause 9.1(a), Bank sanction/appraisal {Clause 8(b & d)}).

5. M/s Meenakshi Agro Tech, Trichy, Tamil Nadu

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in Technical Committee meeting held on 26.04.2019 and Technical Committee had decided not to consider the application of the above firm as the requisite Demand Drafts for EMD and processing charges, as per clause 9.2 of scheme guideline have not been submitted by the applicant to the Ministry.


IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of non-submission of the requisite Demand Drafts for EMD and processing charges, as per clause 9.2 of scheme guideline.

6. M/s Shudh Punjabi Dairy, Ludhiana, Punjab

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as required under clause 8(b) for submission of term loan final sanction/In-principle letter, clause 8(e) for infusion of equity being at least 20% and clause 8(a)(ii) for minimum required net worth of the scheme guidelines. The TC also held the proposal ineligible for not completing the cold chain requirement as required under clause 4 of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria as required under clause 8(b) for submission of term loan final sanction/In-principle letter, clause 8(e) for infusion of equity being at least 20%, clause 8(a)(ii) for minimum required net worth of the scheme guidelines and for not completing the cold chain requirement as required under clause 4 of the scheme guidelines.



7. M/s Green Mark Tech & Trade, District- Namakkal, State- Tamilnadu

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 14.05.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of non-submission of bank appraisal note (Clause 8.d) and insufficient net worth {(Clause8.a (ii)}.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of non-submission of bank appraisal note (Clause 8.d) and insufficient net worth {(Clause8.a (ii)}.

8. M/s SS Dairy Milk and Products, North Goa, Goa

Applicant represented by Shri Sufiyan Sheikh (Promoter) attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net-worth {(Clause 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines}, proposed term loan being less than 20% of total project cost {(Clause 8(c)of scheme guidelines}, the proposed project being up-gradation/expansion project{(Clause 8(i) of scheme guidelines} and total score (47.5) being less than 60{(Clause 11.1(b)of scheme guidelines}.


IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of insufficient net-worth {(Clause 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines}, proposed term loan being less than 20% of total project cost {(Clause 8(c)of scheme guidelines}, the proposed project being up-gradation/expansion project {(Clause 8(i) of scheme guidelines} and total score (47.5) being less than 60 {(Clause 11.1(b)of scheme guidelines}.

9. M/s Mikpingla Industries, Ukhrul, Manipur

Applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 and TC had recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net worth {(Clause 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines} and score (47.5) being less than 60{(Clause 11.1(b)of scheme guidelines}.

IMAC approved the proposal as ineligible on account of insufficient net worth {(Clause 8(a)(ii) of scheme guidelines} and score (47.5) being less than 60 {(Clause 11.1(b) of scheme guidelines}.



Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 02.07.2019

S. no.	Name of the Applicant	District	State	Sector	Eligibility/ Ineligibility Status	Reason for ineligibility
1.	M/s. Good Times Industrial Corporation	Ludhiana	Punjab	RTE	Ineligible	Insufficient net-worth & proposed raw materials/ products are not eligible
2.	M/s. Greg Multi Foods	Udipi	Karnataka	F&V	Ineligible	Insufficient net worth
3.	M/s. Lyallpur Snack Foods	Ludhiana	Punjab	RTE	Ineligible	Insufficient net-worth
4.	M/s. Shivani Convenience Foods Private Limited	Solan	Himachal Pradesh	RTE	Ineligible	Score less than 60 as per guidelines



1. M/s. Good Times Industrial Corporation, Punjab

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling clause (4) of the guidelines pertaining to project components and clause 8 (a) (ii) for minimum required net worth as per the scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause (4) and clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

2. M/s. Greg Multi Foods, Karnataka

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 26.04.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling minimum net worth criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) one of the basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling minimum net worth criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) one of the basic eligibility criteria of the scheme guidelines.

3. M/s. Lyallpur Snack Foods, Ludhiana, Punjab

Shri Praveen Kumar, Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal was considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria for minimum required net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the proposal in the meeting held on 14.06.2019 and referred the proposal back to TC for re-consideration for evaluation, scoring and grant calculation, after submission of the necessary clarification from the revenue authority by the applicant for the correct address as per the records of revenue office for land documents with a confirmation that the addresses mentioned in the CA certificate and uploaded land documents submitted for net-worth assessment are same. TC



reconsidered the proposal in the meeting dated 01.07.2019 recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria of minimum required net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines (as the submitted clarificatory letter is not on the letter head of the revenue authority but on a plain paper).

IMAC noted that TC reconsidered the proposal in the meeting dated 01.07.2019 recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria of minimum required net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines

IMAC considered the recommendation of the TC and decided that the clarificatory documents submitted by the applicant could not be considered as the address mentioned in the CA certificate is not matching with the uploaded land documents and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling the basic eligibility criteria for minimum required net worth as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.


4. M/s. Shivani Convenience Foods Private Limited, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

Shri Mayank, representative of the applicant company attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 10.04.2019 and recommended to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the requirements clause 4 regarding project components and clause 8 (d) regarding bank appraisal note of the scheme.

IMAC considered the proposal in the meeting dated 14.06.2019 and referred the proposal back to TC for re-consideration for evaluation, scoring and grant calculation, after receipt of the necessary clarification from the lending bank regarding the issue of the bank appraisal note on the said date (before the date of EoI) for the purpose of availing the grant under Integrated Cold Chain and Value Addition Infrastructure Scheme of the MoFPI. TC reconsidered the proposal in the meeting dated 01.07.2019 and recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of not fulfilling the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of the TC and rejected the proposal with score of 52 marks, which is less than the minimum eligible score of 60 marks as per scheme guidelines.



Annexure-III (Part-III)

Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible on 02.07.2019

S. no.	Name of the Applicant	Location of the Project	State	Sector	Reason for ineligibility
1.	M/s Rajugupta Builders LLP	Ajmer	Rajasthan	F&V	Project not viable due to non-availability of sufficient raw material.
2.	M/s Svaad Milk Products	Jhunjhunu	Rajasthan	Dairy	In-sufficient net-worth & non submission of Bank Appraisal Note
3.	M/s Mashakti Food Products Limited	24 Paraganas North	West Bengal	Dairy	In-sufficient net worth
4.	M/s Partap Singh & Company	Jalandhar	Punjab	Mixed	Insufficient Net-Worth
5.	M/s Blue Bells Dairy	Howrah	West Bengal	Dairy	Not completing the Cold Chain & Bank Appraisal is not specific to Scheme
6.	M/s Bera Food Products	Medinipur West	West Bengal	Dairy	Cross holding
7.	M/s Basu International	24 South Parganas	West Bengal	Fishery	Non-submission of bank appraisal note
8.	M/s P.K.K. Agro Tech	Ramanatha puram	Tamil Nadu	F&V	Non-submission of DD & score of 55 (less than the eligible score of 60)
9.	M/s Shree Mansa Industries and Partners	Kamrup	Assam	F&V	Insufficient Net-Worth, invalid in-principle sanction & score of 42 (less than the eligible score of 60)

1. M/s Rajugupta Builders LLP, Ajmer, Rajasthan

Shri Mohit Bang, Partner of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 26.04.2019 and in IMAC meeting held on 12.06.2019. IMAC in its meeting held on 12.06.2019 referred back the proposal to TC for reconsideration as the processing line (IQF) proposed by the applicant in Ajmer does not match with the raw material data provided in the DPR.

IMAC noted that TC reconsidered the proposal in its meeting held on 14.06.2019. Technical Committee observed that the applicant has provided the raw material availability data for green peas, onion, guava, water melon, musk melon, & cauliflower and the product mix proposed in the DPR was Green Peas, Sweet Corn & Carrot. However, Technical Committee members were in a view that the raw material for green peas is not enough in Rajasthan area. Technical Committee rejected the proposal as the raw material data provided by the applicant is not sufficient for the viability of IQF proposed.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal as the raw material data provided by the applicant is not sufficient for the viability of IQF proposed.

2. M/s Swaad Milk Products, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan

Shri Hira Lal Aswal, Propreitor of the applicant firm attended the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient net worth i.e. ₹526.00 lakh against the required net worth of ₹937.50 lakh [Grant sought is ₹625.00 lakh.] and non-submission of bank appraisal note - basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) and 8 (d) of scheme guidelines respectively.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) and 8 (d) of the scheme guidelines.



3. M/s Mashakti Food Products Limited, 24 North Parganas, West Bengal

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient networth i.e. ₹196.01 lakh against the required net worth of ₹543.75 lakh [Grant sought is ₹362.42 lakh.] basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

4. M/s Partap Singh & Company, Jalandhar, Punjab

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of insufficient networth i.e. ₹332.63 lakh against the required net worth of ₹555.00 lakh [Grant sought is ₹370.00 lakh.] basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (a) (ii) of the scheme guidelines.

5. M/s Blue Bells Dairy, Howrah, West Bengal

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible as the applicant was not able to establish the components proposed in the DPR vis-à-vis CE (Mech.) Certificate. The bank appraisal note is a copy of DPR and is not specific to scheme guideline [one of the basic eligibility criteria, 8 (d), of scheme guidelines].

Further, the applicant vide email dated 22.04.2019 has withdrawn the proposal.

This was placed before IMAC for information.



6. M/s Bera Food Products, Medinipur West, West Bengal

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 16.04.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible as per para 8 (n) of the scheme guideline since the promoters of M/s Bera Food Products & M/s Mashakti Food Products Limited were found common. Sh. Bhaskar Bera was asked to submit the letter of withdrawal of its proposal i.e. M/s Bera Food Products.

Subsequently, Sh. Bhaskar Bera vide letter dated 16.04.2019 has withdrawn the application of M/s Bera Food products.

This was placed before IMAC for information.

7. M/s Basu International, 24 South Parganas, West Bengal, West Bengal

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 14.05.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on the accounts of non-submission of bank appraisal note, one of the basic eligibility criteria [8(d)] as per scheme guidelines.

IMAC considered the recommendation of TC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8 (d) of the scheme guidelines.

8. M/s P.K.K. Agro Tech, Ramanathapuram, Tamil Nadu

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 26.04.2019. The TC recommended the proposal to be placed before IMAC as ineligible on account of scoring of 55 which is less than the required eligible marks of 60, as per eligibility criteria 11.1(b) of scheme guidelines and non-submission of demand drafts before the date of EOI, eligibility criteria 9.2 of the scheme guidelines.

Subsequently, the applicant vide letter dated 26.04.2019 has withdrawn the proposal.

This was placed before IMAC for information.



9. M/s Shree Mansa Industries and Partners, Kamrup, Assam

The applicant did not attend the IMAC meeting held on 02.07.2019.

IMAC noted that the proposal has been considered in TC meeting held on 21.05.2019. The TC recommended the proposal as ineligible on account of submission of invalid in-principle sanction letter from the lending bank-criteria clause [8(b)] & net-worth-criteria [8(a)ii] as per scheme guidelines and scoring of 42, which is less than the 60 [clause 11.1(b) of scheme guidelines].

IMAC considered the recommendation of IC and rejected the proposal on account of not fulfilling basic eligibility criteria as per clause 8(b), 8(a)(ii) and 11.1(b) of the scheme guidelines.



Annexure-IV

Consolidated merit list of 26 eligible proposals from General Category


Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Date of IMAC	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)		Score		
						Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated		Eligible	Approved by IMAC
1	M/s Swami Chickens Pvt Ltd	Dindigul	Tamilnadu	Meat	02.07.2019	4856.00	2887.15	1000.00	1388.25	1000.00	95.0	
2	M/s Parayil Food Products Pvt Ltd	Coimbatore	Tamilnadu	RTE/ RTC	13.06.2019	3161.00	2068.01	1000.00	983.95	983.95	95.0	
3	M/s Mangala Marine Exim India Pvt Ltd	Ernakulam	Kerala	Marine	13.06.2019	4741.43	3258.10	1000.00	1594.64	1000.00	92.0	
4	M/s Treesas Food Crafts	Dharmapuri	Tamilnadu	Dairy	02.07.2019	4510.00	3188.18	660.00	1581.34	660.00	91.5	
5	M/s. Swathi Hatcheries	Dindigul	Tamilnadu	Meat	02.07.2019	4004.00	2129.90	1000.00	1034.98	1000.00	90.0	
6	M/s. Satyam Food Processing Company	Hanumangarh	Rajasthan	Dairy	12.06.2019	1079.43	948.76	340.00	457.12	340.00	90.0	
7	M/s. Dinshaw's Dairy Foods Pvt Ltd	Sehore	Madhya Pradesh	Dairy	12.06.2019	2843.00	2142.84	1000.00	1113.34	1000.00	87.5	

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Date of IMAC	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)			Score	
						Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible		Approved by IMAC
8	M/s Anjali Hotels Private Limited	Kanchipuram	Tamilnadu	RTE	02.07.2019	3236.00	To be calculated	1000.00	To be calculated	To be calculated	87.0	
9	M/s. Sneha Farms Pvt Ltd	Mahbubnagar	Telangana	Meat	13.06.2019	6409.00	4022.43	1000.00	1973.09	1000.00	85.0	
10	M/s Shanthi Feeds Pvt Ltd	Dindigal	Tamilnadu	Meat	13.06.2019	4670.00	3060.09	1000.00	1479.98	1000.00	85.0	
11	M/s. Shalimar Cold Storage	Fatuha	Bihar	F&V	12.06.2019	2986.65	2125.43	1000.00	1028.74	1000.00	82.5	
12	M/s Magnum Estates Limited	Khorda	Odisha	Marine	13.06.2019	4878.00	2660.20	1000.00	1314.14	1000.00	82.0	
13	M/s. Pasupati Aquatics Private Limited	Mednipur (East)	West Bengal	Marine	13.06.2019	4949.90	3390.37	1000.00	1672.68	1000.00	80.0	
14	M/s ABC Fruits	Krishnagiri	Tamilnadu	F&V	12.06.2019	2859.33	2360.17	1000.00	1127.14	1000.00	79.5	
15	M/s Vardhman Agrofresh Products	Bhopal	Madhya Pradesh	F&V	12.06.2019	1303.55	945.50	448.82	456.78	448.82	78.0	
16	M/s Vishwas Milk Products Pvt. Ltd	Gurdaspur	Punjab	Dairy	02.07.2019	563.06	429.52	217.00	207.82	207.82	78.0	
17	M/s. Simply Fresh Private Limited	Siddipet	Telangana	F&V	12.06.2019	3094.00	1484.64	752.00	661.82	661.82	77.0	

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Date of IMAC	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)			Score	
						Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible		Approved by IMAC
18	M/s ABZ Agro Foods Ltd	Kishanganj	Bihar	Meat	13.06.2019	4334.00	2141.50	1000.00	1005.29	1000.00	75.0	
19	M/s. Sagar Multipurpose Storage Pvt. Ltd.,	Howrah	West Bengal	Marine	13.06.2019	1545.88	1054.14	460.00	521.82	460.00	75.0	
20	M/s. Goel Zenith Agro Private Limited (GZAPL)	Raipur	Chhattisgarh	F&V	12.06.2019	2860.77	2177.40	1000.00	975.12	975.12	72.5	
21	M/s The Coimbatore District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Coimbatore	Tamilnadu	Dairy	02.07.2019	841.56	To be calculated	323.77	To be calculated	To be calculated	72.0	
22	M/s Ganapathi Foods LLP	Ganjam	Odisha	F&V	12.06.2019	1501.95	1163.10	505.31	479.23	479.23	71.0	
23	M/s ANGD Fruit Ranch Pvt. Ltd.	Shimla	H.P.	F&V	12.06.2019	5823.35	2963.42	1000.00	1865.93	1000.00	70.0	
24	M/s. Golden Hatcheries	Tumkur	Karnataka	Meat	02.07.2019	3322.00	1423.25	1000.00	635.23	635.23	70.0	
25	M/s Highland Agro	Balasure	Odisha	Marine	02.07.2019	5308.36	2550.56	1000.00	1275.28	1000.00	68.0	
26	M/s Ocean Agro Fresh	Anantnag	J & K	F&V	02.07.2019	To be resubmitted	838.88	1000.00	526.40	526.40	62.0	

List of 3 eligible proposals from SC Category

Sr. No.	Name of the Project	District	State	Sector	Date of IMAC	Project Cost (in ₹ lakh)		Grant (in ₹ lakh)			Score	
						Total	Eligible	Sought	Calculated	Eligible		Approved by IMAC
1.	M/s Deepak Dairy	Mahendragarh	Haryana	Dairy	12.06.2019	1461.46	1358.54	900.00	948.77	900.00	66.5	
2.	M/s Paras Spices	Una	H.P.	Dairy	02.07.2019	1658.00	1407.33	1000.00	1038.50	1000.00	63.0	
3.	M/s Native Farm Food, Fazilka, Punjab	Fazilka	Punjab	Dairy	12.06.2019	147.92	97.19	83.80	70.50	70.50	60.0	



State-wise & Sector-wise list of eligible proposals							
S.N o.	State	Sector					
		F&V	Dairy	Marine/ Fishery	Meat/ Poultry	RTE/ RTC	Total
1.	Bihar	1			1		2
2.	Chhatisgarh	1					1
3.	Haryana		1				1
4.	Himachal Pradesh	1	1				2
5.	Jammu & Kashmir	1					1
6.	Karnataka				1		1
7.	Kerala			1			1
8.	Madhya Pradesh	1	1				2
9.	Odisha	1		2			3
10.	Punjab		2				2
11.	Rajasthan		1				1
12.	Tamil Nadu	1	2		3	2	8
13.	Telangana	1			1		2
14.	West Bengal			2			2
Total		8	8	5	6	2	29



Details of proposals approved by IMAC as ineligible

Sr No	Name of the Project	District	District	Sector	Date of IMAC	Remarks for Rejection
1.	M/s Elite Dairy Products	Ujjain	Madhya Pradesh	Dairy	13.06.2019	Being an Expansion / upgradation project
2.	M/s. Gurdev Agro Farm Fresh	Muktsar	Punjab	RTE	13.06.2019	The IMAC decided that the project is not viable as the main raw material required for the products, potato, is not available in and around Muktsar, Punjab and there is no market for frozen potato tikki and samosa.
3.	M/s. Greathimalayan Cold Technologies	Kangra	H.P	F&V	13.06.2019	IMAC is of the view that the proposal is only for seeking grant from the Ministry and as such the promoter will not be able to complete the project. Moreover, promoter failed to explain the business model of the project.
4.	M/s. Shivsakthi Milk Dairy	Erode	Tamilnadu	Dairy	14.06.2019	In-sufficient net worth
5.	M/s. White Wave Milk Products	Mandi	Himachal Pradesh	Dairy	14.06.2019	Insufficient net-worth, personal guarantee has been offered to the bank by Shri Rakesh Kumar (father of the promoter and proprietor of Kathpal dairy) who has already availed Grant from MoFPI under the cold chain scheme.
6.	M/s. Bhairaveshwara Cool Point Private Limited	Bangalore	Karnataka	F&V	14.06.2019	Score less than the minimum required.
7.	M/s. Montana Estate Organic LLP	Thrissur	Kerala	Dairy	14.06.2019	Insufficient net worth
8.	M/s. Kushal Polysacks Pvt. Ltd.	Kolkata	West Bengal	Mixed	14.06.2019	Non-submission of bank appraisal note and in-principle sanction

Sr No	Name of the Project	District	District	Sector	Date of IMAC	Remarks for Rejection
9.	M/s Samraj Cold Chain Infrastructure	Trichy	Tamilnadu	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient Network & Score
10.	M/s KMB Industry	Bijapur	Karnataka	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient Network
11.	M/s Kongunadu Cold Chain Infrastructure	Erode	Tamilnadu	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient Network & Score
12.	M/s Indiagreen	Kottayam	Kerala	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient net worth and also non-submission of major documents such as DPR, Bank sanction/appraisal, CE certificates, Bank certificate, Undertaking etc.
13.	M/s Meenakshi Agro Tech	Trichy	Tamil Nadu	F&V	02.07.2019	Requisite Demand Drafts for EMD and processing charges have not been submitted by the applicant to the Ministry.
14.	M/s Shudh Punjabi Dairy,	Ludhiana	Punjab	Dairy	02.07.2019	Non submission of term loan final sanction/In-principle letter, Non compliance of infusion of equity being at least 20% and Insufficient net worth Not completing the cold chain requirement
15.	M/s Green Mark Tech & Trade	Namakkal	Tamilnadu	Poultry/ Meat	02.07.2019	Non-submission of bank appraisal note and insufficient net worth
16.	M/s SS Dairy Milk and Products	North Goa	Goa	Dairy	02.07.2019	Insufficient proposed term loan being less than 20% of total project cost, The proposed project being up-gradation / expansion and project Total score (47.5) being less than 60.
17.	M/s Mikpingla Industries	Ukhrul	Manipur	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient net worth and Score (47.5) being less than 60.
18.	M/s. Good Times Industrial Corporation	Ludhiana	Punjab	RTE	02.07.2019	Insufficient net-worth & proposed raw materials/products are not eligible
19.	M/s. Greg Multi Foods	Udipi	Karnataka	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient net worth

Sr No	Name of the Project	District	District	Sector	Date of IMAC	Remarks for Rejection
20.	M/s. Lyallpur Snack Foods	Ludhiana	Punjab	RTE	02.07.2019	Insufficient net-worth
21.	M/s. Shivani Convenience Foods Private Limited	Solan	Himachal Pradesh	RTE	02.07.2019	Score less than 60 as per guidelines
22.	M/s Rajugupta Builders LLP	Ajmer	Rajasthan	F&V	02.07.2019	Project not viable due to non-availability of sufficient raw material.
23.	M/s Svaad Milk Products	Jhunjhunu	Rajasthan	Dairy	02.07.2019	In-sufficient net-worth & non submission of Bank Appraisal Note
24.	M/s Mashakti Food Products Limited	24 Paraganas North	West Bengal	Dairy	02.07.2019	In-sufficient net worth
25.	M/s Partap Singh & Company	Jalandhar	Punjab	Mixed	02.07.2019	Insufficient Net-Worth
26.	M/s Blue Bells Dairy	Howrah	West Bengal	Dairy	02.07.2019	Not completing the Cold Chain & Bank Appraisal is not specific to Scheme
27.	M/s Bera Food Products	Medinipur West	West Bengal	Dairy	02.07.2019	Cross holding
28.	M/s Basu International	24 South Parganas	West Bengal	Fishery	02.07.2019	Non-submission of bank appraisal note
29.	M/s P.K.K. Agro Tech	Ramanatha puram	Tamil Nadu	F&V	02.07.2019	Non-submission of DD & score of 55 (less than the eligible score of 60)
30.	M/s Shree Mansa Industries and Partners	Kamrup	Assam	F&V	02.07.2019	Insufficient Net- Worth, invalid in -principle sanction & score of 42 (less than the eligible score of 60)

Score Sheet of M/s Ocean Agro Fresh

Annexure-A

S. No.	Criteria for Evaluation of Integrated Cold Chain Proposals	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Revised Score	Post IMAC Revised Score	Remarks
1	Adequate Volume and Wider Mix of Raw Materials (Suitability of Location, Project Site and Status of Possession of Land)	20	10	15	15	
	<p>i. Raw Material availability:</p> <p>a. Raw material availability backed by latest data published by government and authenticated by Competent Govt. Authority: 5 marks</p> <p>b. Raw material availability data duly authenticated by concerned block /district/state authority: 3 marks</p> <p>c.If no raw material data has been uploaded by the applicant: 0 mark</p>	5	5	5	5	<p>The applicant has uploaded Fruit production data from National Horticulture Board for district (Srinagar, Ganderbal, Badgam, Baramula, Bandipora, Kupwara,, Anantnag, Kulgam, Shopian, Pulwama and Leh for the year 2017-18</p> <p>Source: http://hortikashmir.gov.in/DATA/AREA%20PROD%20NET/2017-18.pdf</p> <p>(Refer page 11 of the DPR)</p>

ii.	<p>Suitability of project location(s) for proposed operations and their connectivity through road, railways etc.</p> <p>If land is in close proximity to state/national highway/freight corridors/golden quadrilateral marks may be awarded, as follows:</p> <p>a. Within 2 Kms: 5 marks</p> <p>b. 2-5 Kms : 3 marks</p> <p>c. >5 kms to 10 kms: 2 marks</p> <p>>10 marks : 0 marks</p>	5	5	5	5	<p>Village Vessu Tehsil Qazigund District Anantnag Jammu and Kashmir.</p> <p>The land is located at a distance of around 550 Mtr from the NH 44</p> <p>(Refer DPR page no. 8)</p>
iii	<p>Status of project land</p> <p>a. Main facility Land in possession of the Applicant with approval for industrial use: 10 marks</p> <p>b. Main Facility Land in possession of the Applicant without approval for industrial use: 5 marks</p>	10	0	5	5	<p>The applicant has uploaded the copy of lease deed, survey no. 205 for the main facility i.e. land measuring 8 Kanals, 4.5 Marlas, Khewat No. 55 and Kahta No. 325 of village vessu Tehsil Qazigund, District Anantnag, Jammu and Kashmir; survey no. 203 for land measuring 2 kanal 18 Marlas; Survey No. 204 for land measuring 6 marlas under survey No. 204, Khewat No. 78 and Kahta No. 434 of village vessu</p>



						<p>Tehsil Qazigund, District Anantnag, Jammu and Kashmir. The lease deed is not in favour of applicant firm.</p> <p>TC dated 14.06.2019 observed that the applicant is a proprietorship firm and the lease deed is in the name of proprietor. Accordingly, 5 marks has been awarded.</p>
2	<p>Experience in Cold Chain/ Food Processing :</p> <p>(a) Already in cold chain <u>and</u> food processing business having annual turnover of Rs. 5 crore and above during previous year : 10 marks</p> <p>(b) If in cold chain <u>or</u> food processing business having annual turnover of Rs. 5 crore and above during previous year : 7 marks</p> <p>(c) If in cold chain <u>or</u> food processing business having annual turnover of less than Rs. 5 crore during previous year : 4 marks</p>	10	7	7	7	<p>The proprietor is having experience food processing for last 10 years. Further, proprietor is into Walnut and Dry Fruits processing as Rashid Foods. Turnover of Rashid foods is Rs. 9.40 crore.</p> <p>(Refer page no. 2 of DPR)</p>
3	Economic Viability of the proposal	10	10	10	10	

	<p>Economic Viability of Project Based on Bank Appraisal (Key financial parameters viz. IRR, DSCR, ROI)</p> <p>(The average of score for IRR and DSCR would be considered. IRR and DSCR will be taken from bank appraisal note. In case, IRR and/ or DSCR are not given in the bank appraisal note then the same will be taken from the DPR. <u>Max marks shall be given for IRR = 5</u></p> <p>a) 5 marks shall be given if IRR >20%</p> <p>b) 3 marks shall be given if IRR is between 15%-20%</p> <p>c) 1 marks shall be given if IRR is between 10%-15%</p> <p>d) Nil marks shall be given if IRR is less than 10%</p>	5	5	5	5	<p>IRR of the project has been mentioned as 22.40% as per the bank appraisal.</p> <p>(Refer page 2 of detailed credit appraisal)</p>
	<p>Max marks shall be given for DSCR = 5</p> <p>a. 5 marks shall be given if DSCR >2.5</p> <p>b. 3 marks shall be given if DSCR is between 2.0 and 2.5</p> <p>c. 1 marks shall be given if DSCR is between 1.5 and 2.0</p> <p>d. Nil marks shall be given if DSCR <1.5)</p>	5	5	5	5	<p>Average DSCR of the project is 4.47 of the bank appraisal</p> <p>(Refer page No. 2 of the bank appraisal)</p>
4	Backward Forward Linkages	10	5	5	5	

	<p>a. 0.5 mark for each MoU/ Agreement for Backward Linkages– max. 5 marks</p> <p>b. 0.5 mark MoU/Agreement for Forward Linkages – max. 5 marks</p>		5	5	5	<p>The applicant has not uploaded any MOU under backward linkage. In place of backward linkages MoU's, the applicant has uploaded the MoU's for Forward Linkages.</p> <p>The applicant has uploaded 10 MoUs for forward linkages with proprietor's as it for purchasing of Fruits and Vegetables</p>
5	Proposed investment in Cold Chain Components	15	5	5	5	
	i. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure, distribution hub and processing at different locations along with reefer/ insulated vans	15				
	ii. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure and distribution hub but have combined the processing facility either at farm level infrastructure or distribution hub along with reefer/ insulated vans.	10				
	iii. Proposals creating farm level infrastructure with or	5	5	5	5	The applicant has proposed farm

	without processing along with reefer/ insulated vans.					level infrastructure along with Reefers vans.
	iv. Proposals for setting up irradiation unit.	10				
6	Project proposing value addition/ processing facilities such as IQF, vacuum freeze drying, spiral/tunnel/ blast freezers, etc. for manufacturing of chilled/frozen value added products including value added fruits & vegetables/ meat/ fisheries/ RTE/ frozen products, etc.	5	5	0	0	<p>The applicant has proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IQF • Frozen Store <p>TC dated 14.06.2019 observed that the applicant has not provided the raw material data for F&V [Refer Pg. No.7 of DPR].</p> <p>Hence, TC was in a view that the product mix is only apple and IQF and frozen store is not required for Apple. Accordingly, TC deducted 5 marks.</p>
7	Proposals with investment in reefer transport with capacity not less than 70 MT	5	5	5	0	<p>The applicant has proposed reefer van of 75 MT.</p> <p>IMAC reduced the numbers of reefer vehicles from 15 Nos. to 2 Nos. and the capacity also reduced from 75 MT to 10 MT. Accordingly, the score has been</p>

						deducted from 5 to 0.
8	Proposed Investment in Farm Level Infrastructure [Excluding Cost of Project Land a. Up to 30% of Project Cost: 5 marks b. More than 30% to 50%: 7 marks c. More than 50% : 10 marks	10	10	10	10	The applicant has proposed farm level infrastructure excluding cost of project land is more than 50%.
9	Leveraging of Investment in the Project	10	5	5	5	Proposed Equity investment excluding land is Rs. 578.58 lakh which is less than 2 times of the grant sought i.e. Rs. 1000.00 lakh. (Ref page no 11 of DPR)
	For General Areas					
	i. Proposed Equity investment excluding land < 2 times of grant sought: 5 marks					
	ii. Proposed Equity investment excluding land >= 2 times of grant sought: 10 marks					
	For Difficult Areas					
i. Proposed Equity Investment excluding land < grant sought: 5 marks						
ii. Proposed Equity Investment excluding land >= grant sought: 10 marks						
10	Projects proposing to use renewable/ alternate energy to power cold chain project more than 100 KW	5	5	5	5	The project has proposed Roof top solar system of 110KW Capacity. (Refer DPR pg. 15)
	Total	100	67	67	62	

M/s Ocean Agro Fresh

Plant & Machinery at Village Vessu Tehsil Qazigund District Anantnag Jammu and Kashmir

Sr. No.	Particulars	Qty.	Total capacity	As per C.E Mech.	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
Plant and Machinery									
1	IQF Freezer and Preparatory Line Capacity 1TPH	1	1 TPH	150.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	TC dated 14.06.2019, has removed this (IQF & frozen Store) component as the IQF is not feasible for Apple.
2	Refrigerator for IQF	1		59.49					
3	Refrigerator for Frozen Store Capacity	1	500 MT	35.00					
4	Insulation	1		225.00					
5	Refrigerator for Chill Water System	1		14.00	-	14.00	75%	10.50	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mech. Certificate.
6	Refrigerator for CA Cold Store	1	2000 MT	155.16	400.00	347.16	50%	173.58	As per MIDH norms
7	CA Store (TCW)		1280 Sq. Mtr.	192.00					
8	Stacking Arrangement	1		90.00	-	90.00	75%	67.50	Cost norms not available. Cost

9	Boiler and Air System	1		25.00	-	25.00	75%	18.75	considered as per C.E Mech. Certificate.
10	Integraon, Supervision, Erecon and Installation	LUMSUM		35.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
11	Transportation	1		40.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
12	Common Utilities	1		135.50	-	135.50	75%	101.63	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mech. Certificate.
	Other Assets	1							
13	Solar		110 KW	35.00	35.00	35.00	50%	17.50	As per MIDH norms
14	DG Set		400 KW	18.00	-	18.00	75%	13.50	Cost norms not available. Cost considered as per C.E Mech. Certificate.
	Sub Total (A)			1209.15		664.66		402.96	
	Refrigerated Vehicles								
1	Refrigerated Vehicles	2	15 MT each	153.00	28.89	28.89	50%	14.44	As per MIDH norms. IMAC approved 2 nos. of reefer vans of 15 MT capacity each.
	Sub Total (B)			153.00		28.89		14.44	
	Total P&M (A+B)			1362.15		693.55		417.40	

M/s Ocean Agro Fresh

Technical Civil Work at Village Vessu Tehsil Qazigund District Anantnag Jammu and Kashmir

Sr. No.	Description	Area sq Meters	Rate per sq Meters	As per C.E Civil	Cost as per Cost Norms	Approved Cost	Eligible grant %	Eligible grant	Remark
Technical Civil Work									
1	IQF Section with Processing Line	600	15000	90.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	TC dated 14.06.2019, has removed this (IQF & frozen Store) component as the IQF is not feasible for Apple.
2	Frozen Store	400	15000	60.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	
3	CA Store	1280	15000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Total cost of the same has been considered in P&M
4	Primary Processing Area	943.35	15000	141.50	94.34	94.34	75%	70.75	As per MoFPI norms
5	Boiler Room	80	15000	12.00	8.00	8.00	75%	6.00	As per MoFPI norms
6	Machine Room	150	15000	22.50	15.00	15.00	75%	11.25	As per MoFPI norms
7	Dispatch Room	160	15000	24.00	16.00	16.00	75%	12.00	As per MoFPI norms
8	Packing Area	120	15000	18.00	12.00	12.00	75%	9.00	As per MoFPI norms
	Sub Total (C)			368.00		145.34		109.00	
Non-Technical Civil Work									
9	Administrative Block	133.35	15000	20.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	Ineligible
	Sub Total (D)			20.00		0.00		0.00	
	Total TCW (C+D)			388.00		145.34		109.00	
	Grant Total (A to D)			1750.15		838.88		526.40	